

G-01

Rev.1 Corr. 1, sign-off cover sheet ver. 2

November 2024

PHARMACOPOEIAL DISCUSSION GROUP**SIGN-OFF COVER SHEET****CODE: G-01****NAME: ANALYTICAL SIEVING****(Version 2 of the sign-off cover sheet to Rev. 1 Correction 1 signed on November 15, 2021)****Item to be added: Add IP to the sign-off as new PDG member****Harmonised attributes**

| | EP | IP | JP | USP |
|-----------------|----|----|----|-----|
| Introduction | + | + | + | + |
| Test Sieves | + | + | + | + |
| Sieving methods | + | + | + | + |
| Interpretation | + | + | + | + |

Legend

+ will adopt and implement; – will not stipulate

Non-harmonized attributes

None

Local requirements

| EP | IP | JP | USP |
|------|------|--|------|
| None | None | The following statement is added in the introduction: <i>The analytical sieving method is a method to estimate the particle size distribution of powdered pharmaceutical drugs by sieving. The particle size determined by this method is shown as the size of a minimum sieve opening through which the particle passes. "Powder" here means a gathering of numerous solid particles.</i> | None |

G-01

European Pharmacopoeia

Signature

Signé par :

5D202E6E19D1466...

Name

Cathie Vielle

Date

6 Dec 2024

Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission

Signature

Signed by:

6CD705E38A2848B...

Name


Gaurav Pratap Singh

Date

28 Nov 2024

Japanese Pharmacopoeia

Signature

署名者:

for K. Nakaz
9BF72DA462C9442...

Name

Yoshiro Saito

Date

28 Nov. 2024

United States Pharmacopoeia

Signature

Signed by:

A7467E52FCC94E9...

Name

Kevin Moore

Date

11/27/2024

1
2
3
4
5

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATION BY ANALYTICAL SIEVING

6 Sieving is one of the oldest methods of classifying powders and granules by particle size
7 distribution. When using a woven sieve cloth, the sieving will essentially sort the particles by their
8 intermediate size dimension (i.e., breadth or width). Mechanical sieving is most suitable where the
9 majority of the particles are larger than about 75 µm. For smaller particles, the light weight provides
10 insufficient force during sieving to overcome the surface forces of cohesion and adhesion that cause
11 the particles to stick to each other and to the sieve, and thus cause particles that would be expected to
12 pass through the sieve to be retained. For such materials other means of agitation such as air-jet
13 sieving or sonic sifting may be more appropriate. Nevertheless, sieving can sometimes be used for
14 some powders or granules having median particle sizes smaller than 75 µm where the method can be
15 validated. In pharmaceutical terms, sieving is usually the method of choice for classification of the
16 coarser grades of single powders or granules. It is a particularly attractive method in that powders
17 and granules are classified only on the basis of particle size, and in most cases the analysis can be
18 carried out in the dry state.

19 Among the limitations of the sieving method are the need for an appreciable amount of sample
20 (normally at least 25 g, depending on the density of the powder or granule, and the diameter of test
21 sieves) and difficulty in sieving oily or other cohesive powders or granules that tend to clog the sieve
22 openings. The method is essentially a two-dimensional estimate of size because passage through the
23 sieve aperture is frequently more dependent on maximum width and thickness than on length.

24 This method is intended for estimation of the total particle size distribution of a single material.
25 It is not intended for determination of the proportion of particles passing or retained on one or two
26 sieves.

27 Estimate the particle size distribution as described under *Dry Sieving Method*, unless otherwise
28 specified in the individual monograph. Where difficulty is experienced in reaching the endpoint (i.e.,
29 material does not readily pass through the sieves) or when it is necessary to use the finer end of the
30 sieving range (below 75 µm), serious consideration should be given to the use of an alternative
31 particle-sizing method.

32 Sieving should be carried out under conditions that do not cause the test sample to gain or lose
33 moisture. The relative humidity of the environment in which the sieving is carried out should be
34 controlled to prevent moisture uptake or loss by the sample. In the absence of evidence to the
35 contrary, analytical test sieving is normally carried at ambient humidity. Any special conditions that
36 apply to a particular material should be detailed in the individual monograph.

37 **Principles of Analytical Sieving**—Analytical test sieves are constructed from a woven-wire
38 mesh, which is of simple weave that is assumed to give nearly square apertures and is sealed into the
39 base of an open cylindrical container. The basic analytical method involves stacking the sieves on
40 top of one another in ascending degrees of coarseness, and then placing the test powder on the top
41 sieve.

42 The nest of sieves is subjected to a standardized period of agitation, and then the weight of
43 material retained on each sieve is accurately determined. The test gives the weight percentage of
44 powder in each sieve size range.

45 This sieving process for estimating the particle size distribution of a single pharmaceutical
46 powder is generally intended for use where at least 80% of the particles are larger than 75 µm. The
47 size parameter involved in determining particle size distribution by analytical sieving is the length of
48 the side of the minimum square aperture through which the particle will pass.

49
50
51

TEST SIEVES

52 Test sieves suitable for pharmacopeial tests conform to the current edition of International
53 Organisation for Standardization (ISO) ISO 3310 - 1 specification; Test sieves – Technical

G-01

Revision 1 Correction 1

October 2021

54 requirements and testing – Part 1: Test sieves of metal wire cloth. Unless otherwise specified in the
 55 monograph, use those ISO sieves listed in Table 1 as recommended in the particular region.
 56

57 **Table 1. Sizes of Standard Sieve Series in Range of Interest**

| Principal sizes | ISO Nominal Aperture | | US Sieve No. | Recommended USP Sieves (microns) | European Sieve No. | Japan Sieve No. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Supplementary sizes | R 20/3 | | | | |
| 11.20 mm | 11.20 mm 10.00 mm | 11.20 mm | | | 11200 | |
| 8.00 mm | 9.00 mm 8.00 mm 7.10 mm | 9.50 mm 8.00 mm | | | | |
| 5.60 mm | 6.30 mm 5.60 mm 5.00 mm | 6.70 mm 5.60 mm | | | 5600 | 3.5 |
| 4.00 mm | 4.50 mm 4.00 mm 3.55 mm | 4.75 mm 4.00 mm | 5 | 4000 | 4000 | 4.7 |
| 2.80 mm | 3.15 mm 2.80 mm 2.50 mm | 3.35 mm 2.80 mm | 6 7 | | | 5.5 6.5 |
| 2.00 mm | 2.24 mm 2.00 mm 1.80 mm | 2.36 mm 2.00 mm | 8 10 | 2800 2000 | 2800 2000 | 7.5 8.6 |
| 1.40 mm | 1.60 mm 1.40 mm 1.25 mm | 1.70 mm 1.40 mm | 12 14 | | | 10 12 |
| 1.00 mm | 1.12 mm 1.00 mm 900 µm | 1.18 mm 1.00 mm | 16 18 | | | 14 16 |
| 710 µm | 800 µm 710 µm 630 µm | 850 µm 710 µm | 20 25 | 1000 710 | 1000 710 | 18 22 |
| 500 µm | 560 µm 500 µm 450 µm | 600 µm 500 µm | 30 35 | | | 26 30 |
| 355 µm | 400 µm 355 µm 315 µm | 425 µm 355 µm | 40 45 | | | 36 42 |
| 250 µm | 280 µm 250 µm 224 µm | 300 µm 250 µm | 50 60 | | | 50 60 |
| 180 µm | 200 µm 180 µm 160 µm | 212 µm 180 µm | 70 80 | 250 180 | 250 180 | 70 83 |
| 125 µm | 140 µm 125 µm 112 µm | 150 µm 125 µm | 100 120 | | | 100 119 |
| 90 µm | 100 µm 90 µm 80 µm | 106 µm 90 µm | 140 170 | | | 140 166 |
| 63 µm | 71 µm 63 µm 56 µm | 75 µm 63 µm | 200 230 | 90 63 | 90 63 | 200 235 |
| 45 µm | 50 µm 45 µm 40 µm | 53 µm 45 µm | 270 325 | | | 282 330 |
| | | | | | | 391 |

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110

Sieves are selected to cover the entire range of particle sizes present in the test specimen. A nest of sieves having a $\sqrt{2}$ progression of the area of the sieve openings is recommended. The nest of sieves is assembled with the coarsest screen at the top and the finest at the bottom. Use micrometers or millimeters in denoting test sieve openings. [NOTE—Sieve numbers are provided in the table for conversion purposes only.] Test sieves are made from stainless steel or, less preferably, from brass or other suitable non-reactive wire.

Calibration and recalibration of test sieves is in accordance with the most current edition of ISO 3310 - 1. Sieves should be carefully examined for gross distortions and fractures, especially at their screen frame joints, before use. Sieves may be calibrated optically to estimate the average opening size, and opening variability, of the sieve mesh. Alternatively, for the evaluation of the effective opening of test sieves in the size range of 212 to 850 μm , Standard Glass Spheres are available. Unless otherwise specified in the individual monograph, perform the sieve analysis at controlled room temperature and at ambient relative humidity.

Cleaning Test Sieves—Ideally, test sieves should be cleaned using only an air jet or a liquid stream. If some apertures remain blocked by test particles, careful gentle brushing may be used as a last resort.

Test Specimen—If the test specimen weight is not given in the monograph for a particular material, use a test specimen having a weight between 25 and 100 g, depending on the bulk density of the material, and test sieves having a diameter of 200 mm or 203 mm (8-inch). For sieves of 75 mm or 76 mm (3-inch) diameter the amount of material that can be accommodated is approximately $1/7^{\text{th}}$ of which can be accommodated on a 200 mm or 203 mm sieve. Determine the most appropriate weight for a given material by test sieving accurately weighed specimens of different weights, such as 25, 50, and 100 g, for the same time period on a mechanical shaker. [NOTE—If the test results are similar for the 25-g and 50-g specimens, but the 100-g specimen shows a lower percentage through the finest sieve, the 100-g specimen size is too large.] Where only a specimen of 10 to 25 g is available, smaller diameter test sieves conforming to the same mesh specifications may be substituted, but the endpoint must be re-determined. The use of test samples having a smaller mass (e.g. down to 5 g) may be needed. For materials with low apparent particle density, or for materials mainly comprising particles with a highly iso-diametrical shape, specimen weights below 5 g for a 200 mm or 203 mm sieve may be necessary to avoid excessive blocking of the sieve. During validation of a particular sieve analysis method, it is expected that the problem of sieve blocking will have been addressed.

If the test material is prone to picking up or losing significant amounts of water with varying humidity, the test must be carried out in an appropriately controlled environment. Similarly, if the test material is known to develop an electrostatic charge, careful observation must be made to ensure that such charging is not influencing the analysis. An antistatic agent, such as colloidal silicon dioxide and/or aluminum oxide, may be added at a 0.5 percent (m/m) level to minimize this effect. If both of the above effects cannot be eliminated, an alternative particle-sizing technique must be selected.

Agitation Methods—Several different sieve and powder agitation devices are commercially available, all of which may be used to perform sieve analyses. However, the different methods of agitation may give different results for sieve analyses and endpoint determinations because of the different types and magnitude of the forces acting on the individual particles under test. Methods using mechanical agitation or electromagnetic agitation, and that can induce either a vertical oscillation or a horizontal circular motion, or tapping or a combination of both tapping and horizontal circular motion are available. Entrainment of the particles in an air stream may also be used. The results must indicate which agitation method was used and the agitation parameters used (if they can be varied), since changes in the agitation conditions will give different results for the sieve analysis and endpoint determinations, and may be sufficiently different to give a failing result under some circumstances.

G-01

Revision 1 Correction 1

October 2021

111 **Endpoint Determination**—The test sieving analysis is complete when the weight on any of
112 the test sieves does not change by more than 5% or 0.1 g (10% in the case of 75 mm or 76 mm
113 sieves) of the previous weight on that sieve. If less than 5% of the total specimen weight is present
114 on a given sieve, the endpoint for that sieve is increased to a weight change of not more than 20% of
115 the previous weight on that sieve.

116 If more than 50% of the total specimen weight is found on any one sieve, unless this is
117 indicated in the monograph, the test should be repeated, but with the addition to the sieve nest of a
118 more coarse sieve intermediate between that carrying the excessive weight and the next coarsest
119 sieve in the original nest, i.e., addition of the ISO series sieve omitted from the nest of sieves.

120

121

122

123

SIEVING METHODS

124

Mechanical agitation

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

Dry Sieving Method—Tare each test sieve to the nearest 0.1 g. Place an accurately
weighed quantity of test specimen on the top (coarsest) sieve, and replace the lid. Agitate the nest of
sieves for 5 minutes. Then carefully remove each from the nest without loss of material. Reweigh
each sieve, and determine the weight of material on each sieve. Determine the weight of material in
the collecting pan in a similar manner. Reassemble the nest of sieves, and agitate for 5 minutes.
Remove and weigh each sieve as previously described. Repeat these steps until the endpoint criteria
are met (see *Endpoint Determination* under *Test Sieves*). Upon completion of the analysis, reconcile
the weights of material. Total losses must not exceed 5% of the weight of the original test specimen.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

Air Entrainment Methods

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

Air Jet and Sonic Sifter Sieving—Different types of commercial equipment that use a
moving air current are available for sieving. A system that uses a single sieve at a time is referred to
as *air jet* sieving. It uses the same general sieving methodology as that described under the *Dry
Sieving Method*, but with a standardized air jet replacing the normal agitation mechanism. It requires
sequential analyses on individual sieves starting with the finest sieve to obtain a particle size
distribution. Air jet sieving often includes the use of finer test sieves than used in ordinary dry
sieving. This technique is more suitable where only oversize or undersize fractions are needed.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

In the *sonic sifting* method, a nest of sieves is used, and the test specimen is carried in a
vertically oscillating column of air that lifts the specimen and then carries it back against the mesh
openings at a given number of pulses per minute. It may be necessary to lower the sample amount to
5 g, when sonic sifting is employed.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

The air jet sieving and sonic sieving methods may be useful for powders or granules when
mechanical sieving techniques are incapable of giving a meaningful analysis.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

These methods are highly dependent upon proper dispersion of the powder in the air current.
This requirement may be hard to achieve if the method is used at the lower end of the sieving range
(i.e., below 75 μm), when the particles tend to be more cohesive, and especially if there is any
tendency for the material to develop an electrostatic charge. For the above reasons endpoint
determination is particularly critical, and it is very important to confirm that the oversize material
comprises single particles and is not composed of aggregates.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

INTERPRETATION

G-01

Revision 1 Correction 1

October 2021

164 The raw data must include the weight of test specimen, the total sieving time, and the precise
165 sieving methodology and the set values for any variable parameters, in addition to the weights
166 retained on the individual sieves and in the pan. It may be convenient to convert the raw data into a
167 cumulative weight distribution, and if it is desired to express the distribution in terms of a cumulative
168 weight undersize, the range of sieves used should include a sieve through which all the material
169 passes. If there is evidence on any of the test sieves that the material remaining on it is composed of
170 aggregates formed during the sieving process, the analysis is invalid.

171

172