











































The Kreuth initiative		
	Title	Topics addressed
Kreuth I 1999	Optimal Use of blood components and plasma derived medicinal products	Red cells, platelets, FFP, albumin, clotting factor concentrates and haemophilia treatment
Kreuth II 2009	Optimal Use of blood components: quality and best practices in haemotherapy	Red cells, platelets, FFP, albumin, clotting factor concentrates and haemophilia treatment
Kreuth III 2014	Optimal Use of Clotting Factors and Immunoglobulins	Human normal immunoglobulins, clotting factors for treatment of haemophilia (VIII, IX, new)
	RUM Paul-Ehrlich-Institut 📚	







Scientific F	Programme (Committee				
LMU	PEI	EDQM				
Prof. W. Schramm	Prof. R. Seitz	Dr. M.E. Behr-Gross				
Dr. K. Berger	Dr. A. Hilger	Dr. K.H. Buchheit				
	PD Dr. Dorothea Stahl	Dr. M. Wierer				
Tech	nical Organi	sation				
Mr.	Mr. D.Stijelja-Jovanovic, Ms. E. Zachari , Mrs B. Hovanyecz(EDQM)					
LMU KLINIKUM Pa	aul-Ehrlich-Institut 쵫					



























































Desig	nated Ornhan MP	for haemo	nhilia A
20018			
# P	roduct	Sponsor	Date
1 P	egylated rh FVIIa	Novo Nordisc	4/6/2008
2 L	iposomal rh FVIII	Bayer Pharma AG	24/7/2009 (withdrawn)
3 S	equence-modified rhFVIIa	Bayer Pharma AG	9 October 2009
4 R	ecombinant porcine factor VIII (B domain deleted)	Inspiration Biopharmaceuticals	20 September 2010
5 R	ecombinant fusion protein FVIII attached to Fc of IgG1	Biogen Idec	20 September 2010
6 P	egylated rh BDD sequence-modified FVIII	Bayer Pharma AG	23 February 2011
7 R	ecombinant fusion protein FVIIa with albumin	CSL Behring	15 April 2011
8 P	egylated rH FVIII	Novo Nordisk	26 April 2012
9 V	'atreptacog alfa (activated)	Novo Nordisk	9 August 2012
10 H	lum. moAb TFPI	Novo Nordisk	10 October 2012
11 H	um. bispecific moAb targeting F IX, IXa, X and Xa	Chugai Pharma Europe Ltd	16 January 2014
12 St	ynth. siRNA against antithrombin mRNA + ligand with N-acetylgalactosamine	Alnylam UK Limited	29 July 2014
13 rł cł	h FVIIa modified (repeats from $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ chain of human horionic gonadotropin)	Richardson Associates Regulatory Affairs	22 August 2014
14 A	combination of peptides (H-Lys-Lys-Gly-Pro-Arg]	Apitope International NV	19 November 2014
	INIKUM Paul-Ehrlich-Institut	n edo	m 🕑




























Quality Indicators for Monitoring the Clinical Use of Blood

EUROPEAN SYMPOSIUM IV Wildbad Kreuth Initiative - Optimal use of clotting factors and platelets 6-7 May 2016, Freising, Germany

C. Politis, Greece

Introduction

 The importance of quality management system (QMS) in transfusion medicine is well established, however the development of appropriate quality indicators (QIs) as a tool for quality monitoring and improvement has only recently begun to receive attention in this field

Agenda

- General information on QIs in transfusion Definitions – Classification – Characteristics
- Council of Europe, EDQM CDPTS
 - Qls for monitoring the clinical use of blood in Europe Enquiry - Evaluation of 2012 data
 - ✓ Use of blood components, 2013 data
- IHN/ISTARE data on clinical use of blood components, 2014

General Information I

Definition of QIs

• Qls are measurable, objective indicators of the efficiency of the key segments of a system

Vuk T. Blood Transf. 2010:8(suppl.1)

- Qls are one of the tools of a QMS used to monitor and control process functioning, whereby the data collected provide a basis for the implementation of corrective measures and continuous improvement
- Conformity with a set quality standards and goals has to be demonstrated by measurement

ISO 9001 Standard

General Information II

Characteristics of QIs

- Measurability
- Importance and relevance
- Potential for use
- Reliability (each QI should have clean numerator and denominator)
- Validity (*Ql should be adequately related to the problem monitored*)
- Uniformity of data collection
- Other attributes



General Information IV

Implementation of Qis: Objectives

One of the purposes of measurement is for monitoring
 In the case of the clinical use of blood, monitoring is a key
 ingredient of a quality system, also essential for harmonizing
 transfusion practices within and between countries

Indicators may contribute towards providing a general picture of the factors that influence the use of blood components and alternatives

 They allow trend analysis of various aspects of clinical practice and benchmarking

Finally, they may facilitate assessing the effectiveness of transfusion in terms of its outcomes, not only under optimal circumstances but also in emergencies and crises

Council of Europe- EDQM project 2010-2014 Inquiry into QIs for monitoring the clinical use of blood

Objectives

- To identify and develop a set of commonly accepted performance QIs for monitoring the clinical use of blood and blood components in Europe, in accordance with R (2002) 11 on the Hospital's and clinician's roles in the optimal use of blood and blood products;
- To use these indicators as a tool for benchmarking purposes and to improve consistency and uniformity in the reporting of annual data on the clinical use of blood at local, regional, national and international levels;
- To add a chapter to the Guide on "Monitoring the clinical use of blood with focus on efficacy versus outcome of transfusion: annual performance indicators"

The Chronicle

- Proposal to CD-P-TS November 2010
- Execution of the pilot study

Establishing a Working Group

Members: Vincenzo de Angelis (Italy), Alina Dobrota (Romania), Olivier Garraud (France), Tomislav Vuk (Croatia), Fatima Nascimento (Portugal), Jana Rososchova (Slovak R), Harald Schennach (Austria)

Project leader: Constantina Politis (Greece)

- Collecting data from 8 countries (Austria, Croatia, France, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia) for year 2010 or 2009
- Analysis of data performed by Cl. Richardson, Pantion University, Greece

Enquiry into Quality Indicators for monitoring the clinical use of blood

Based on

- the Recommendation (2002) 11
- 1999 and 2009 Kreuth initiatives for optimal use of blood
- EU's "Manual of Optimal Blood Use"
- other international work

Structure of the inquiry

- General information and National Policy for clinical use of blood
 - Implementation of Annual performance indicators of use of blood based on Rec(2002)11
- Evaluation of use of blood at local (hospital) level
 - Benchmarking between institutions by selected pathologies
- Specific quality indicators of transfusion practice based on EU's "Manual of Optimal Blood Use"
- Indicators of monitoring the efficacy versus outcome of the transfusion including economic parameters

Section A.

General information and National Policy for clinical use of blood

- Respondent Information Country
- National Policy
 - structure,
 - national regulations,
 - guidelines
- Quality standards and maintenance of records
- Haemovigilance and inspections for the clinical use of blood
- Information on Quality Management Systems for monitoring clinical performance in hospitals

Section B.

Implementation of annual performance indicators of use of blood and blood products based on the Rec(2002)11 of the Council of Europe

- Evaluation of use of blood at national /regional level
 - No. of units transfused per 1000 inhabitants and per no. of beds
 - Total Blood components issued/transfused
 - Transfused FFP/RBCs
- Evaluation of use of blood at local (hospital) level
- Special blood components transfused
 - Recovered Platelets /Aphaeresis Platelets
 - Untreated FFP/Pathogen Inactivated FFP
 - Untreated platelets /Pathogen Inactivated platelets
 - Irradiated blood components/Total blood components

Section B. Evaluation of use of blood at local (hospital) level

- Admitted patients/ Beds
- Total blood components transfused/ Distributed
- Total blood components transfused/prescribed
- Total blood components transfused/ Transfused patients
- Total blood components transfused per clinical department/
 No. of units of total blood components transfused in hospital
- Total blood components transfused per patient, by clinical department

Section B.

Benchmarking between institutions by selected pathologies

Selected Pathologies

- Total hip replacement
- TTP
- Coronary by-pass, with 2-3 grafts
- Massive blood loss

Rates (examples)

- Mean units of RBCs used per patient with total hip replacement at institutional level
- Mean units of FFP used per patient with TTP at institutional level
- Mean units of total blood components used per patient in coronary by-pass with 2-3 grafts at institutional level
- Mean units of total blood components used per patient with massive blood loss at institutional level

Institutions

- General hospital
- University hospital
- Specialised hospital

Section C.

Specific quality indicators of transfusion practice based on EU's "Manual of Optimal Blood Use"

- Prescription
- Ordering and wastage
- Request forms
- Patient sampling
- Compatibility testing and traceability
- Other indicators

This section is designed for local use only

Section D.

Indicators of monitoring the efficacy versus outcome of the transfusion including economic parameters

National or hospital data including research findings, if available Assessment of efficacy/ outcomes of transfusion

Parameter of success

- Laboratory parameters
- Outcome in terms of morbidity
- Outcomes in terms of mortality
- Outcomes in terms of time
- Outcomes in terms of disease groups

Cost-effectiveness, cost-benefit analysis, cost-recovery evaluation Other indicators



















Conclusions I The EDQM CD-P-TS Pilot Study has demonstrated significant variation of QIs for monitoring the clinical use of blood between countries and within countries Data on QIs requested on the management of hospital blood bank stock show a loss ranging as high as 20% QIs for measuring the efficacy of transfusion in terms of outcome show that a stable cooperation of individuals hospitals is required QIs on adverse effects of transfusion through haemovigilance should be considered

Conclusions II

- The inquiry into QIs was promising
- The response rate was not as high as had been hoped: one factor is the difficulty of collecting some of the quantitative data by approaching one or more hospitals separately
- CD-P-TS has suggested that the building up of a network of contact points is required for regular collection of validated data on blood usage and future projections

EDQM CD-P-TS, 2013 data Use of Blood Components in 32 MS (Median values)

RBC 35 units: 1000 inhabitants (range 4-64)

Ratio $\frac{FFP}{RBC}$: 0.4 (range 0.03 - 1.5 median 0.31) 1:3

 $\frac{Whole Blood Derived Platelets}{Apheresis Platelets} = \frac{64}{36} \% 1.8 (0.0-85\% \text{ median 34\%})$

EDQM CD-P-TS, 2013 data Medicinal products

- Plasma for fractionation (29 MS) Average yield 9.1 lt : 1000 inhabitants (range 0-54 L) 71% recovered plasma (range 11-100% median 72%)
- Human albumin (17 MS)
 - Average use = 5088 kg (range= 0.0 35,379 kg, median 1,139 kg)
- Manufactured albumin (13 MS) iv administration 75% (range 0.0-1005, median 87%)
- Factor VIII (17 MS)
 Average use =34 x106 IU (range= 0-249 IU, median 4.0)
- Polyvalent Immunoglobulins

Average use = 3,295 kg(range 0.0-28,048, median 700kg)





Comments I

- Variation of RBCs per 1000 inhabitants may reflect the results of insufficient blood supply or limited hospital care. Programmes for "optimal use of blood" has been recently installed in order to reduce unnecessary donor exposure to patient
- For the same blood safety reason the use of aphaeresis platelets in relation to recovered platelets is relatively high in some countries.
- CD-P-TS is suggesting that a better benchmark maybe achieved by including the number of hospital beds linking to blood component use

Comments II

- The Hospital transfusion Committee should adopt procedures for regular transfusion auditing. In the case of significant deviations from the guidelines, corrective actions should be put in place.
- Patient blood management (PBM) programmes should provide best clinical care. Blood services and all BEs stakeholders should be involved in PBM programmes

Thank you







Product	Technology	Half-lfe t _{1/2}	Estimated time to 1% after 50IU/kg
BAY94-9027	Site-directed PEGylation		~5 days
N8-GP	Site-directed glycoPEGylation		6,5 days
BAX855 (Adynovate)	Controlled PEGylation	1.4–1.6 TOID	4 days
rFVIII-Fc (Eloctate, Elocta)	Fc-fusion		4,9 days



Product	Dose (IU/kg)	Treatment regimen	Median ABR, bleeds·patient ⁻¹ ·year ⁻¹	Patients with <u>no</u> bleeding episodes %
ong-acting rFVIII Pro	ducts			
DAV04 0027	45–60 IU/kg	every 5 days	1,9	44
BAY94-9027	60 IU/kg	every 7 days	3,9	37
rFVIII-Fc	25–65 IU/kg	every 3 - 5 days	1,6	45,3
(Eloctate)	65 IU/kg	every 7 days	3,6	17,4
BAX 855 (Adynovate)	45 IU/kg	2xweek	1,9	39,6

Patients treated with **rFVIII** longer acting on weekly prophylaxis experienced <u>a high ABR</u> in comparison to prophylaxis regimen every 3-5 days and this treatment regimen did not provide adequate prophylaxis

(Powell et al. N Engl J Med 2013;369:2313-23 ; Powell J et al. Haemophilia 2014;20;(Suppl.3):187; Mahlangu et al. Blood 2014;123:317-325); Konkle BA et al. Blood 2015;126:1078-1085)

Flora Peyvandi



Product	Technology	Half-lfe t _{1/2}	Estimated time to 1% after 50IU/kg
N9-GP	Site-directed glycoPEGylation	3-5 fold	22 days
rFIX-Fc (Alprolix)	Fc-fusion		10 days
rIX-FP (Idelvion)	Albumin-fusion	_	1-2 weeks



Product	Status	Pediatric trials	PUPs trials			
rFVIII Products						
BAY94-9027	Phase III completed	Ongoing	//			
N8-GP	Phase III completed	Active, not recruiting	Ongoing			
BAX855 (Adynovate)	Approved by FDA at 2015	Completed	Ongoing			
rFVIIIFc (Eloctate)	Approved by FDA at 2014 Approved by EMA at 2015	Completed	Ongoing			
rFIX Products						
N9-GP	Phase III completed	Active, not recruiting	Ongoing			
rFIXFc (Alprolix)	Approved by FDA at 2014	Completed	Ongoing			
rIX-FP (Idelvion)	Approved by FDA at 2016	Completed	Ongoing			



Novel rFVIIa products						
Fc-fusion	Albumin-fusion	CTP-fusion				
Fusion of the Fc domain of human IgG	Fusion of the human albumine	Fusion of the C terminus peptide of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG)				
rFVIIa						
rFVIIa-FC	rVIIa-FP	Factor VIIa-CTP				

	Novel rFVIIa products						
	Product	Half-Ife t _{1/2}	Somministration				
	rFVIIa-Fc	5,5 fold (in mice)	Intravenous				
	rFVIIa-FP (CSL689)	3- to 4-fold	Intravenous				
	rFVIIa-CTP	3-fold	Intravenous and subcutaneous injection				
Flora Peyvandi							





Product	Technology	Half-life	Somministration
Inhibition of natur	al anticoagulants		1
Concizumab (NN7415)	anti-TFPI Antibody	once weekly	Intravenous and subcutaneous injections
ALN-AT3 (Fitusiran)	RNA interference (RNAi) against AT	once weekly or montly	Subcutaneous injections
Promotion of thro	mbin generation by n	nimicking the co	factor activity of FVIII
ACE910 (Emicizumab)	Bispecific antibody to FIXa/FX	once weekly	Subcutaneous injections

Product	Status	Patients enrolled
Inhibition of natural	anticoagulants	
Concizumab (NN7415)	Phase I Ongoing (NCT02490787)	Hemophilia A and B
ALN-AT3 (Fitusiran)	Phase I/II Ongoing (NCT02554773)	Hemophilia A and B Hemophilia patients with inhibitor
Promotion of throm	bin generation by min	nicking the cofactor activity of FVII
ACE910 (Emicizumab)	Phase III Ongoing (NCT02622321)	Hemophilia A Hemophilia patients with inhibitor











EUROPEAN SYMPOSIUM IV Wildbad Kreuth Initiative - Optimal use of clotting factors and platelets 6-7 May 2016, Freising, Germany

CURRENT CHALLENGES USING PLATELET CONCENTRATES

Professor Jean-Pierre Cazenave, MD, PhD

ARMESA Strasbourg, France

Transfusion of platelet concentrates (PC): a never ending challenge

- 1950's: platelet transfusions reduce mortality from hemorrhage in patients with acute leukemia
- Increase use: essential part of treatment of cancer, hematological malignancies, bone marrow failure, stem cell transplantation
- Problems: type of PC, risks for donors and patients, limited resources
- Introduction of new technologies: bacterial detection, pathogen inactivation
- Hemovigilance
- Consensus conferences and guidelines: safety and efficacy
- Regulatory approval by national agencies
- Costs

Pathogen inactivation (PI) of blood components A change of paradigm (Toronto Consensus Conference 2007*)

Active surveillance cannot forsee the risk of an emerging pathogen transmitted by transfusion. This type of risk needs a proactive approach according to the principle of precaution

- 1. PI implementation for 100% of blood components
- 2. PI implementation should not wait its availability for all 3 blood components (platelets, plasma, RBC)
- 3. PI should be implemented when safe methods of inactivation for large spectrum of pathogens are available
- 4. Use of PI should be universal for all patients

* Webert KE, Cserti CM, Hannon J, Lin Y, Pavenski K, Pendergrast JM, Blajchman MA. Proceedings of a Consensus Conference: pathogen inactivation-making decisions about new technologies. Transfusion Medicine Reviews, 2008, 22, 1-34.

Hemovigilance objectives relative to introduction of a new technology (Toronto Consensus Conference 2007)

- · Monitor safety of PC in routine use
- · Monitor safety in broad patient populations
- Monitor safety in special populations
 - Pediatric patients
 - Infants and neonates
 - Rare congenital disorders
- Detect low frequency adverse events that cannot be studied in clinical trials



Indications to transfuse PC:a complex decision

- Increasing use of PC: medicine, pediatrics and neonatology, surgery, obstetrics
- Many etiologies: thrombocytopenia (central or peripheral), thrombopathia
- Clinical bleeding is a therapeutic indication
- Prophylactic indication: risk factors modulate transfusion threshold
- Reduce risks to PC transfusion: infections, immune reactions (including refractoriness), TRALI
- Type of PC: single donor or pooled standard buffycoat, pathogen inactivated (amotosalen,riboflavin, UVC), donor profile
- · Prescription: over- or under-use, availability, cost

What type of platelet concentrate are we talking about?

- Donor profile: male or female; HLA-, HPA-, HNA- matched; single or pooled
- Processing methods to prepare PCs: PRP (USA), buffycoat (Europe), apheresis
- Modifications: leucoreduction, additive solution, bacterial detection (1 or 2 tests), pathogen inactivation
- Storage: 3-5-7 days, temperature, agitation, transport
- QC: platelet concentration and content/PC, swirling, in vitro function
- In vivo: platelet recovery and survival, CCI, bleeding grade

Difficulties in assessing the clinical efficacy and safety of platelet concentrates

- It might be good to remember the history of transfusion medicine: progress by trial and errors, new technologies, clinical observation, clinical trials, evidence based medicine, hemovigilance
- Are apheresis PC or buffycoat PC equivalent?: apheresis machines different (microaggregates, swirling), anticoagulants, degree of leucoreduction, PAS
- How to evaluate efficacy?: surrogate markers and/or bleeding grade
- Is safety for donors or patients equivalent for both types of PC?
- Clinical trials face complexity in transfusion medicine: many evolutive diseases with various primary treatments (radiations, chemotherapy, antiplatelet agents...)
- Evaluation of cost, a necessity but not an obsession: albumine, delay in implementation



Indications of PC in adult and pediatric patients with central thrombocytopenia are more frequent

- Increased frequency: hematological malignancies, solid tumors, aplasia, SCT, chemotherapy
- Usual posologies (France 2015): 0.5-0.7 x 10¹¹/10 kg body weight
- Posology for neonates: 0.1-0.2 x 10¹¹/kg body weight (15-20mL/kg)
- Therapeutic transfusion of PC: when clinical bleeding
- Prophylactic transfusion of PC: when risks factors of bleeding
- Transfusion threshold: 10 G/L (stable patients), 20, 50 G/L

A MAJOR QUESTION: PROPHYLAXIS OR PLATELETS ON DEMAND

Relationship of CI, CCI to Grade 2 Bleeding and transfusion interval							
	N	Dose	1 Hr Cl	1 Hr CCI	Interval	Grade 2 (%)	
PLADO-Low	417	2.0	10	10.0	1.1	58	
PLADOMedium	423	4.0	19	10.0	1.9	59	
PLADO-High	432	8.0	38	11.0	2.9	60	
SPRINT- IA ¹	318	3.7	21	11.1	1.9	59	
SPRINT-C ²	327	4.0	34	16.0	2.4	58	
EUROSP-IA ¹	52	3.9	28	13.1	3.0	73 ³	
EUROSP-C	51	4.3	35	14.9	3.4	69 ³	
HOVON-IA ¹	87	3.4	20	11.4	2.5	7	
HOVON-C ²	99	3.9	34	17.1	3.4	Group	
¹ Plasma inactivated ² Plasma Control ³ Grade 1 and 2 blee	¹ Plasma inactivated amotosalen + UVA ² Plasma Control ³ Grade 1 and 2 bleeding combined as mild bleeding						









Quantitative aspects of pathogen inactivation in platelet concentrates and plasma transfused to patients in Alsace (2006-2014)

Intercept components transfused in Alsace		Components (n)	Patients (n)
PC-IA (20/07/2006-31/07/2014)	Total	140,990	20,921 - 404 newborns - 823 children - 19,694 adults
	BCPC-IA	89,954	
	APC-IA	51,036	
FFP-IA (03/09/2007-31/07/2014)	Total Units (200 mL/unit)	124,724	17,960 - 658 newborns - 786 children - 16,516 adults
	Pools for plasma exchange therapy	3,753 (corresponding to 33,046 units of 200mL)	3219 children312 adults


	Conventional- PC					Intercept- PC		
Year	PC (n)	TTBI (Grade 1-4)	TTBI (Grade 3)	TTBI (Grade 4 death)	TTBI/10,000 PC	PC (n)	TTBI (1-4) (death)	TTBI/10,000 PC
2006	231,853	4	4	0	0.17	6,420	0 (0)	0
2007	232,708	9	5	2	0.39	15,393	0 <mark>(0</mark>)	0
2008	239,349	6	4	1	0.25	15,544	0 <mark>(0)</mark>	0
2009	241,634	9	7	0	0.37	21,767	0 <mark>(0)</mark>	0
2010	253,147	2	0	1	0.08	21,897	0 <mark>(0)</mark>	0
2011	267,785	3	2	1	0.11	23,179	0 <mark>(0)</mark>	0
2012	275,986	7	2	2	0.25	24,849	0 <mark>(0)</mark>	0
2013	285,288	4	2	1	0.14	24,954	0 (0)	0
2014	278,477	2	2	0	0.07	24,881	0 (0)	0
2015	92,000	1	0	1	0.11	8,000	0 (0)	0
Total*	2,398,227*	47*	28	9	0.20	186,884*	0 <mark>(0)</mark> *	0

Frequency of Transfusion Transmitted Bacterial Infections (TTBI) of conventional-PC and of Intercept-PC in France (2006-2015)

AFSSAPS/ANSM Hemovigilance and EFS Activity reports (2006-2014) (gravity 1-4, imputability 2 (ex 3) and 3 (ex 4). 9 deaths (7 LR-APC/2 LR-BCPC conventional PC). *Fischer's exact test, two-sided : p-value: 0.048. relative Risk = 7.3 with Confidence Interval lower bound = 0.7.

Emerging Chikungunya and dengue in France

- 1. Pathogen inactivation of PC and plasma by Intercept was introduced in 2006-2007 for all patients transfused in Ile de la Réunion, Martinique, Guadeloupe and Guyane during an epidemic of Chikungunya and dengue
- 2. Epidemic of Chikungunya in the French carribean islands starting in February 2014
- Number of clinical cases June 15, 2014: Saint Martin (3430), Saint Barthélémy (620), Martinique (37600), Guadeloupe (40400), Guyane (390)
- 4. Hémovigilance at EFS-Martinique and Guadeloupe-Guyane: CHIKV NAT since February 24, 2014 in addition to 28 days of exclusion of previous CHIKV infection, 72 h quarantine for RBCC, pathogen inactivation by Intercept of all platelets (PC-IA) and plasma
- 5. Information post donation at EFS-Martinique and Guadeloupe: 10 PC-IA (8 APC-IA an 2 BCPC-IA) coming from CHIV viremic donnors were transfused to 10 patients. No infection was detected in these patients
- Surveillance of Chikungunya and dengue in metropolitan France (summer 2014): all the prerequisites for autochthonous transmission of Chikungunya are present: extension of *Aedes albopictus* in Southern France (up to Alsace), large number of travelers returning from French Carribean Islands (408 cases of CHIKV and 150 cases of DENV confirmed by laboratory)
- 10/20/2014: 4 autochthonous cases of dengue fever in Southern France
 5 autochthonous cases of chikungunya in Montpellier/ Southern France

Zika virus epidemy, a public healh emergency of international concern (WHO, February 1st 2016)

- Areas with active Aedes mosquito-borne transmission of ZIKA virus: Africa (1951-1981), Thailand, French Polynesia (2013), Brazil-Mexico-French Carribean Islands-Puerto Rico (2015-2016) and many imported cases (France, USA...)
- 80% ZIKV infections remain asymptomatic
- Clinical symptoms: self-limiting, similar to flu-illness, chikungunya or dengue, severe complications: Guillain-Barré syndrome, microcephaly, long term complications
- Viremia may last up to 14 days and beyond
- · Transmission: intrauterine, perinatal, sexual, transfusion blood component
- Reservoir of ZIKV: central nervous system, semen
- Recommendations for blood donation: deferral (4weeks), RT-PCR; women and pregnant women
- Pathogen inactivation: plasma (SD, amotosalen), platelets (amotosalen), red blood cells (IND authorization for S-303)

« WHAT IS THE NEXT NEW VIRUS? », THE STORY GOES ON! Proactive or passive surveillance





MERCI DE VOTRE ATTENTION THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Conflict of interest disclosure of Jean-Pierre Cazenave

Cerus Corporation (The Netherlands)

Co-Investigator of clinical trials Honoraria for presentations Research contracts





History								
FDA CPMP COMP PDCO CAT PRAC								
1896 1906 1995 1999 2000 2004 2007 2009 2012								
CAT: Committee for Advanced Therapies, CPMP/CHMP: Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, PRAC: Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee PDCO: Paediatric Committee PRAC: Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee COMP: Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products								
Paul-Ehrlich-Institut 3								





























			Outco	me category	
		All-cause mortality	Serious (or severe) symptoms (or late complications) and adverse events	Health-related quality of life	Non-serious (or non-severe) symptoms (or late complications) and adverse events
	Major sustained and great improvement in the therapy-relevant benefit, which has not previously been achieved versus the appropriate comparator therapy	Major increase in survival time	Long-term freedom or extensive avoidance	Major improvement	Not applicable
Extent category	Considerable marked improvement in the therapy- relevant benefit, which has not previously been achieved versus the appropriate comparator therapy	Moderate increase in survival time	Alleviation or relevant avoidance	Important improvement	Important avoidance
	Minor moderate and not only marginal improvement in the therapy-relevant benefit, which has not previously been achieved versus the appropriate comparator therapy	Any increase in survival time	Any reduction	Relevant improvement	Relevant avoidance









































Clinical OutcomesParameterMeasurementBleedsfrequency, localisation, severitypatient survey, patient diaryTarget Joints4 or more bleeds in one joint within 6 months*patient survey, patient diaryArthropathymobility, function, joint replacement, arthrodesisclinical joint status, Haemophilia Joint He Score (HJHS), WFH Physical Examination (Gilbert Score), Arnold-Hilgartner System Petterson Score, Magnetic Resonance Im (MRI) Score, ultrasoundOsteoporosisfracturepatient survey, patient recordTherapy-related infectious diseaseshepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates usedlaboratory values, patient survey, patient recordDevelopment of inhibitorsduration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates usedpatient survey, patient recordMortalitycause of deathdeath certificate, patient record	clinical outcomes					
Bleeds frequency, localisation, severity patient survey, patient diary Target Joints 4 or more bleeds in one joint within 6 months* patient survey, patient diary Arthropathy mobility, function, joint replacement, arthrodesis clinical joint status, Haemophilia Joint He Score (HJHS), WFH Physical Examination (Gilbert Score), Arnold-Hilgartner System Petterson Score, Magnetic Resonance Im (MRI) Score, ultrasound Osteoporosis fracture patient survey, patient record Therapy-related infectious diseases hepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates used laboratory values, patient survey, patient record Development of inhibitors duration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates used patient survey, patient record Mortality cause of death death certificate, patient record	Clinical Outcomes	Parameter	Measurement			
Target Joints4 or more bleeds in one joint within 6 months*patient survey, patient diaryArthropathymobility, function, joint replacement, arthrodesisclinical joint status, Haemophilia Joint He Score (HJHS), WFH Physical Examination (Gilbert Score), Arnold-Hilgartner System Petterson Score, Magnetic Resonance Im (MRI) Score, ultrasoundOsteoporosisfracturepatient survey, patient recordTherapy-related infectious diseaseshepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates usedlaboratory values, patient survey, patient recordDevelopment of inhibitorsduration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates usedpatient survey, patient recordMortalitycause of deathdeath certificate, patient record	Bleeds	frequency, localisation, severity	patient survey, patient diary			
Arthropathymobility, function, joint replacement, arthrodesisclinical joint status, Haemophilia Joint He Score (HJHS), WFH Physical Examination (Gilbert Score), Arnold-Hilgartner System Petterson Score, Magnetic Resonance Im (MRI) Score, ultrasoundOsteoporosisfracturepatient survey, patient recordTherapy-related infectious diseaseshepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates usedlaboratory values, patient survey, patient recordDevelopment of inhibitorsduration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates usedpatient survey, patient recordMortalitycause of deathdeath certificate, patient record	Target Joints	4 or more bleeds in one joint within 6 months*	patient survey, patient diary			
Osteoporosis fracture patient survey, patient record Therapy-related infectious diseases hepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates used laboratory values, patient survey, patient record Development of inhibitors duration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates used patient survey, patient record Mortality cause of death death certificate, patient record	Arthropathy	mobility, function, joint replacement, arthrodesis Gilbert Score, Magnetic Resonar (MRI) Score, ultrasound				
Therapy-related infectious diseases hepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates used laboratory values, patient survey, patient record Development of inhibitors duration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates used patient survey, patient record Mortality cause of death death certificate, patient record	Osteoporosis	fracture	patient survey, patient record			
Development of inhibitors duration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates used patient survey, patient record Mortality cause of death death certificate, patient record	Therapy-related infectious diseases	hepatitis, HIV, clotting factor concentrates used	laboratory values, patient survey, patient record			
Mortality cause of death death certificate, patient record	Development of inhibitors	duration and intensity of treatment, gene mutations, clotting factor concentrates used	patient survey, patient record			
	Mortality	cause of death	death certificate, patient record			
		Paul-Ehrlich-Institut 🍂				

Patient Reported Outcomes	Parameter	Measurement
Disease-specific quality of life	Example: Haemophilia-QoL 36 items/9 Scales: physical health, daily activities, joint damage, pain, treatment satisfaction, treatment difficulties, emotional functioning, mental health, relationship and social activities ¹	Haemophilia-QoL (adults an children), Haemo-QoL-A, Haem-A-QoL, Children Haemophilia Outcome (CHC Kids Assessment Tool (KLAT)
Health-related quality of life	Example: Euro-QoL-5D-questionnaire with 5 dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, anxiety/depression ²	EQ-5D, SF-36, SF-12
Activity	FISH (8 activities: eating, grooming, dressing, chair transfer, squatting, walking, step climbing, running ³) or HAL (7 domains ⁴)	Functional Independence Score (FISH), Haemophilia Activities List (HAL; PedHAL)
Social integration	education, work, days absent, hospital stays	patient survey
Adherence and compliance	continuous treatment according to therapeutic guidelines	patient survey, patient diary

Which outcomes are feasible to be determined in
clinical routine care and meet access requirements?

GTH

Clinical Outcomes	Measurement	Prioritization				
		un-important	moderately important	important	ver impor	
Bleeds	patient survey, patient diary			1	13	
Target Joints	patient survey, patient diary				14	
	clinical joint status, Haemophilia Joint Health Score (HJHS), WFH Physical Examination Score (Gilbert Score)			6	8	
Arthropathy	radiological joint status (Petterson Score)		8	2	1	
	Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)		5			
	ultrasound	2	4	3		
Osteoporosis	patient survey, patient record	9	1	2		
Therapy-related infectious diseases	laboratory values, patient survey, patient record			2	10	
Development of inhibitors	patient survey, patient record				14	
Mortality	death certificate, patient record				14	
A total of 14 physicians prioritized	health outcomes taking into consideration the ability	of their assessment in clir	ical routine care.			
KLINIKUM	Paul-Ehrlich-Institu	ut 鸄	/	6	2	























Brian O Mahony 2016

















Status of the implementation of EDQM 2013 recommendations

	NHC	Min 3 IU/capita FVIII	Prophylaxis in children	Prophylaxis for adults when required			
Implemented in	18 countries	18 countries	33 countries	31 countries			
Not implemented in	19 countries	8 countries	4 countries	6 countries			
No data for	8 countries	19 countries	8 countries	8 countries			
Brian O Mahony 2016							








	Since 1996 GL	Since 2012 GL	Comment
	requirements	requirements	
	50 PTP > 12y (incl. 12	50 PTP > 12y (incl. 12	No change
	PTP for PK and 5 PTP	PTP for PK and 5 PTP	
	for surgery)	for surgery)	
	20 children < 6y , to	50 children 0-12y	Paediatric Regulation
	be started before		/ PIP
	MA		
	PUP CT not required	50 PUP for novel	Inhibitor review
		products	2005
		100 PUP follow up	PIP
	Post-authorisation:	200 patients to be	Inhibitor review
	No specific	followed for 100 ED	2005
	requirements	-specific testing	
		schedule	
M	KLINIKUM Paul-	Ehrlich-Institut 쵫	



























Inhibitor development in PUPs - SIPPET and previous studies -

F.R. Rosendaal Leiden University Medical Center

IV Wildbad Kreuth Initiative Optimal use of clotting factors and platelets Freising, 6 May 2016





FVIII product and inhibitors				
Previously untreated patients				
cryoprecipitate	6.2%			
early concentrates	9.0%			
ultrapure concentrates	>25%			
Previously treated patients				
FVIII CPS-SD	4.4/1000 py			
FVIII CPS-P	20.1/1000 py			
(Peerlinck, Blood 1993; Guérois, Thromb Ha	iemost 1995; Gouw, Blood 2007; Vermylen,			
Acta Clin Belg 1991; Rosendaal, Blood 1993	; Gouw N Engl J Med 2013)			



	Replic	cation:	fours	stud	ies
	design	period	countries	N*	adjustment
RODIN FCN UKHCDO EUHASS	cohort cohort cohort case-series	2000-2010 1993-2014 2000-2011 2008-2012	14 1 1 26	574 353 407 417	mutation, age, + mutation, age, + mutation, age, + none
(Gouw, N En Haemost 20	gl J Med 2013; (15)	Calvez, Blood 20	14; Collins, Blo	ood 2014	; Fischer, Thromb







Confounding

- the main problem of observational studies
 mnemonic: grey hair and death risk
- a main cause is the physician: confounding by indication
- when the physician cannot know any risk factor: no confounding
 idiosyncratic side-effect of drugs
- when all risk factors known: adjustment
 - and reasoning over direction of effect
- when likelihood of subtle unknown or unmeasurable factors
 - confounding remains, unless influence physician removed
 - this is done by randomisation











Assumption SIPPET

- differential rate of inhibitors by product is a class effect
- due to presence of VWF in pdFVIII
- Note:
 - neither assumption necessary for the study













Baseline	charad	cteristics
	pdFVIII	rFVIII
	n=125	n=126
median age (mo.)	14.0	15.0
null mutation	86.3%	81.4%
family history haemophilia	47.6%	42.6%
family history inhibitor	11.5%	10.1%
previous treatment	44.8%	42.1%
treatment regimen		
on-demand	48.8%	44.4%
standard pophylaxis	16.8%	15.1%
modified prophylaxis	34.3%	40.5%

Inhib	itor occu	rence	
	pdFVIII n=125	rFVIII n=126	
all high-titre	29 20	47 30	
persistent peak titre	74.4%	72.2%	
peak (median) range	12 0.8-1100	16 0.7-1850	











Consequences

- scenarios -

- ignore
- ask for more studies
- treat all PUPs with pdFVIII
- treat first with pdFVIII, then switch to rFVIII
- differentiate
 - low risk rFVIII
 - high risk pdFVIII, or pdFVIII and then switch to rFVIII



Acknowl	edgments
Flora PeyvandiFier Mannucci	 local investigators DMSB Syntesi Research Patients and parents
SPONSORS OF THE STUDY FONDAZIONE ANGELO BIANCHI BONOMI Por la ndra e la riteria nel cargo dell'andita, della tradatte enorrigida Minnistero della Salute	UNRESTRICTED GRANTS Grifols, Spain Kedrion Biopharma, Italy LFB, France



IV Wildbad Kreuth Initiative 6-7 Ma	e - Optimal use of clotting factors and platelets ay 2016, Freising, Germany	
Disclosur (none re	re for Hervé CHAMBOST elated to this presentation)	
Shareholder	No relevant conflicts of interest to declare	
Grant / Research Support	CSL Behring, LFB, NovoNordisk	
Consultant	Baxalta, Bayer Healthcare, CSL Behring, NovoNordisk	
Employee	No relevant conflicts of interest to declar	
Paid Instructor	No relevant conflicts of interest to declare	
Speaker bureau	No relevant conflicts of interest to declare	
Clinical trials (PI)	Bayer Healthcare, Biogen, CSL Behring, NovoNordisk, Octapharma	







Inhibitors					
Inhibitor history	recorded at the	last follow-u	p in the	whole cohort	
Type / Severity	Patients (n)	Inh + (n)	(%)	High Response (n)*	
Haemophilia A	5813	595		359	
Severe	1963	472	24.0	300 (64%)	
Moderate	831	60	7.2	27	
Mild	3019	63	2.1	32	
Haemophilia B	1299	15			
Severe	403	14	3.5	11	
Moderate	365	1	0.3	-	
	524	0	0	_	



















Randomized comparison of prophylaxis and on-demand regimens with FEIBA NF in the treatment of haemophilia A and B with inhibitors

S. V. ANTUNES,* S. TANGADA,† O. STASYSHYN,‡ V. MAMONOV,§ J. PHILLIPS,¶ N. GUZMAN-BECERRA,† A. GRIGORIAN,† B. EWENSTEIN† and W.-Y. WONG†









Case 1 : 35y, sHA, HR inhibitor, 32 UB at 3y Challenged X times / FVIII Treated on demand with aPCC No ITI till 32y, severe bleeder phenotype High dose aPCC prophylaxis (< 48h) Additional infusions +++ of aPCC / bleeds

Severe arthropathy, target joints, disability and impaired quality of life despite hard constraints and highly costly treatment

Expertise by social insurance (3 times)

Overtreatment ? Unjustified TRT ?




Case 2 : 6y, sHA, HR inhibitor

8 BU at 1y, discovered after 7 CED, elbow haemarthrosis with poor response to FVIII Treatment / rFVIIa on demand Immediate start of ITI : peak 410 BU at10 days

Poor compliance, poor peripheral venous access Several complications: infections and mechanical dysfunction of the Central Venous Devices Frequent hematomas and hospitalisations Intermittent prophylaxis (rFVIIa) Partial response : stop ITI after 6 months (40 BU)

Not prepared and too early ITI ?



Conclusion (1)

Treatment of bleeds / bypassing agents is well known but not optimal for many patients with inhibitor

ITI should be undertaken at least once in each patient in good conditions but the optimal characteristics and the criteria of failure remain to define

Indications of By-Passing Agent Prophylaxis remains debated and even a controversial subject with reimbursement organisms







Original Bonn Pi LR: 50-100 IU FV HR: 100 IU FVIII/I	rotocol III/kg body weight/o kg bw i.v. twice dail	l, every other y and FEIBA t	day or 3 times pe 50 IU /kg bw i.v. tv	er week wice daily
Modified Bonn P	rotocol			
HR: 100-150 IU F	VIII/kg bw every 12	hours; accor	ding to the bleedi	ng tendency
HR: 100-150 IU F concomitant treat	VIII/kg bw every 12 ment with FEIBA 50	hours; accor)-100 IU/kg bv	ding to the bleedi v once or twice da	ng tendency aily
HR: 100-150 IU F concomitant treat	VIII/kg bw every 12 ment with FEIBA 50	2 hours; accor 0-100 IU/kg bv	ding to the bleedi v once or twice da	ng tendency aily
HR: 100-150 IU F concomitant treat	VIII/kg bw every 12 ment with FEIBA 50 Pre-ITI titer [BU] Median (range)	2 hours; accor)-100 IU/kg by Time to BU <1 [mo]	ding to the bleedi v once or twice da Time to complete success [mo]	ng tendency aily Succeess rate in HR [%]
HR: 100-150 IU F concomitant treat Kreuz et al., Haemophilia 1995	VIII/kg bw every 12 ment with FEIBA 50 Pre-ITI titer [BU] Median (range) 42 (0.8-1052)	2 hours; accor 0-100 IU/kg by Time to BU <1 [mo] 2.5	ding to the bleedi v once or twice da Time to complete success [mo] 4 (0.5-42)	ng tendency aily Succeess rate in HR [%] 14/16 (87%)



Malmö Protocol

- Extracorporeal immune adsorption with Protein-A-columns on two consecutive days
- Cyclophosphamid (12-15 mg/kg bw i.v. for two days after start of ITI followed by 2-3 mg/kg bw for 5 days)
- Intravenous gammaglobulins (400 mg/kg bw for 5 days)
- Administration of FVIII concentrate at 8-12 hour intervals to maintain FVIII:C 40-100%
- Success rate 62.5% (10/16 pts)
- Duration of treatment 9-37 days

	ITI Protocols						
Bonn protocol	Malmo protocol	van Creveld					
FVIII 100 U/kg BID	Immunoadsorption using protein A column	FVIII 25-50 IU/kg BID for 1-2 weeks					
FEIBA 100 U/kg BID	if inhibitor titer >10 BU/mL	then 25 IU/kg every other day					
	Cyclophosphamide 12-15 mg/kg IV daily x then 2-3 mg/kg PO daily x 8-10 days	2 days					
	FVIII is given to achieve a 40%-100% fV followed by fVIII infusion every 8-12 hou	III level ırs to achieve 30%-80% level					
	IVIG 2.5-5 g IV immediately after the fi followed by 0.4 g/kg daily days 4-8	rst fVIII infusion					



How I use bypassing therapy for prophylaxis in patients with hemophilia A and inhibitors

Cindy A. Leissinger,¹ Tammuella Singleton,¹ and Rebecca Kruse-Jarres²

¹Louisiana Center for Bleeding and Clotting Disorders, Tulane University Medical Center, New Orleans, LA; and ²Washington Center for Bleeding Disorders, Bloodworks Northwest, Seattle, WA

(Blood. 2015;126(2):153-159)

🖲 blood 🎘

Reference/study design	No. patients, age at start of prophylaxis, prestudy bleeding frequency	BAP dose	Duration of BAP (range)	Efficacy
55; prospective study with 3-mo lead-in on-demand	22	rFVIIa 90 µg/kg per day or	3 mo	45% reduction in bleeding in patients treated with 90 μg/kg per day
period (control period) followed by randomization to 2 doses of rFVIIa for a 3-mo treatment period	5.1-50.5 y ≥2 bleeds/mo during 3-mo preprophylaxis period	rFVIIa 270 μgkg per day		59% reduction in bleeding in patients treated with 270 µg/kg per day (not statistically significant compared with 90 µg/kg dose) Significantly fewer hospital admissions and absences from school/work during prophylaxis
56; randomized crossover study of 6 mo of aPCC prophylaxis followed by 6 mo of on-demand therapy or vice versa	26 2.8-62.8 y ≥6 bleeds requiring bypassing therapy in 6 mo before study enrollment	aPCC 85 U/kg ± 15% on 3 nonconsecutive d/wk	6 mo	62% reduction in all bleeding events* 61% reduction in hemarthroses* 72% reduction in target joint bleeding* Significantly fewer absences from school/work during prophytasis*
57; randomized control trial comparing 12 mo of aPCC prophylaxis with 12 mo of on-demand therapy	36 7-56 y ≥12 bleeds in 12 mo before study enrollment	aPCC 85 ± 15 U/kg every other day	12 mo	72.5% reduction in annual bleeding rate









	Procurer	nent n	nethod	EHCC-
Tend	ler (19)	Alterna	itive (17)	Both (2)
Albania	Poland	Austria	Kyrgyzstan	Bulgaria
Azerbaijan	Portugal	Belgium	Latvia	Lithuania
Belarus	Romania	Croatia	Netherlands	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	Russia	Estonia	Norway	
Czech Republic	Serbia	Finland	Spain	
Denmark	Slovak Republic	France	Sweden	
Hungary	Slovenia	Germany	Switzerland	
Ireland	Ukraine	Greece	Turkey	
Moldova	United Kingdom	Italy		
Montenegro				



Products tendered for:

- 18/19 tendered for plasma derived FVIII
- 13 tendered for plasma derived FVIII/VWF
- 16 tendered for recombinant FVIII
- 17 tendered for plasma derived FIX
- 8 tendered for recombinant FIX
- 11 tendered for bypassing agents
- 11 tendered for PCC's
- 7 tendered for products for rare bleeding disorders









- Not involved in 13

Mai	n repre	sentat	ives on	tender bo	etter
Health Insurance funds	Medicines agencies or pharmacies	Hospitals or blood centres	Ministries of Health	Clinicians or Haemophilia Centres	Patient Organisation
	Involv	ed in all a	aspects of t	the process	
Bosnia& Herzegovina	Denmark	Albania	Albania	Ireland	Ireland
Hungary	United Kingdom	Czech Republic	Azerbaijan	Denmark	Serbia
Montenegro,	Azerbaijan	Ireland	Belarus	Montenegro	
Serbia	Romania	Portugal	Ireland	Serbia	
Slovak Rep.	Belarus	Romania	Russia	United Kingdom	
Involve	d only in sc	ientific ar	nd technica	al aspects of the	e process
				Romania	Portugal
				Portugal	Slovenia
				Bosnia &	United
				Herzegovina	Kingdom
				Moldova	



Tender /Proculduration of terms of	rement B	Oarc contra	EHC s: cts
		N	Years
	Tender	9	2.3
ferm of office of the committee	Alternative	3	1.5
Typical duration of the contract awarded	Tender	18	1.4
	Alternative	7	1.9



						EHC			
						european haemophilia consortium			
		Tend	or	Alternative Process					
		Tenu			Alternativ				
	n	Median (€)	Range (€)	n	Median (€)	Range (€)			
Recombinant FVIII*	12	0.56	0.28 -1.05	17	0.69	0.39 -1.06			
Plasma-Derived FVIII	15	0.40	0.16 -1.16	16	0.64	0.18 - 0.90			
Recombinant FIX	6	0.73		12	0.72				
Plasma-Derived FIX*	15	0.40	0.18 -0.83	17	0.54	0.38 -0.88			























						european haemoph	lia consortium
		QUESTIONS					
		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	N/A
1	How would you rate the Customer Service provided by the supplier?				х		
2	How would you rate the handling of complaints by the supplier?			х			
3	How would you rate your local representative?	x					
4	How would you rate the support you receive from your local representative?	х					
5	How would you rate timeliness of deliveries?					х	
6	How would you rate the accuracy of the deliveries?			х			
7	How would you rate order fulfilment?				х		
8	How would you rate the invoicing process?			х			
9	How would you rate the value added services offered by the supplier?			х			
10	How would you rate the supplier's overall performance?				х		
	Al	DITIONAL QUES	NON				
		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	N/A
11	How would you rate your homecare delivery supplier?		х				





Portuguese Association of Hemophilia denounces economic criteria in the treatment of disease



"This way, health of people with haemophilia has become dependent on cheaper products and not necessarily the most effective and safe products. On the other hand, medical experts in haemophilia who should be the a very important voice in the scientific and medical choice of these products have been relegated to a completely secondary role in the choice of therapies that will be administered to their patients."

Press release, APH, World Haemophilia Day 2015













801							
031	838	960	948	1,352	1,684	1,911	
	-2.0%	4.7%	-0.4%	12.6%	7.6%	4.3%	4.39
322	655	788	1,402	1,882	2,206	2,701	
	26.7%	6.3%	21.2%	10.3%	5.4%	7.0%	12.59
1,213	1,493 <i>7.2%</i>	1,748 <i>5.4%</i>	2,350 <i>10.4%</i>	3,234 <i>11.2%</i>	3,889 <i>6.3%</i>	4,612 <i>5.8%</i>	7.79
sumption c ual growth er of <u>new</u> p	of plasma-o rates of bo patients go	derived an oth plasma ing on pro	d recombi a-derived a phylaxis s	nant facto and recom hrank yea	r VIII went binant fac r after yea	tor VIII r.	
	322 1,213 sumption c ual growth er of <u>new</u> p 14, the fac	322 655 26.7% 1,213 1,493 7.2% sumption of plasma-construction ual growth rates of born of new patients go 14. the factor VIII construction	322 655 788 26.7% 6.3% 1,213 1,493 1,748 7.2% 5.4% sumption of plasma-derived an ual growth rates of both plasma ar of new patients going on pro 14. the factor VIII consumption	322 655 788 1,402 26.7% 6.3% 21.2% 1,213 1,493 1,748 2,350 7.2% 5.4% 10.4% sumption of plasma-derived and recombined an	322 655 788 1,402 1,882 26.7% 6.3% 21.2% 10.3% 1,213 1,493 1,748 2,350 3,234 7.2% 5.4% 10.4% 11.2% sumption of plasma-derived and recombinant facto 10.4% 11.2% aul growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant facto 11.2% 11.2% aul growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant facto 11.2% 11.2% aul growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant facto 11.2% 11.2% aul growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant facto 11.2% 11.2%	322 655 788 1,402 1,882 2,206 26.7% 6.3% 21.2% 10.3% 5.4% 1,213 1,493 1,748 2,350 3,234 3,889 7.2% 5.4% 10.4% 11.2% 6.3% sumption of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII went patients going on prophylaxis shrank year after yea 14. the factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII consumption	322 655 788 1,402 1,882 2,206 2,701 26.7% 6.3% 21.2% 10.3% 5.4% 7.0% 1,213 1,493 1,748 2,350 3,234 3,889 4,612 7.2% 5.4% 10.4% 11.2% 6.3% 5.8% sumption of plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII went up at ual growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII went up at ual growth rates of both plasma-derived and recombinant factor VIII er of new patients going on prophylaxis shrank year after year. 14. the factor VIII consumption grew faster than the population







[1996	1999	2002	2005	2008	2011	2014	Growth
plasma-derived (Units x 000)	188	171	161	160	202	283	344	
Annual Growth Rate		-3.2%	-1.9%	-0.2%	8.0%	11.9%	6.7%	3.4
Recombinant (Units x 000)	-	34	78	158	191	224	260	
Annual Growth Rate			32.8%	26.3%	6.5%	5.5%	5.0%	14.6
Plasma-derived+Recombinant	188	204	240	318	392	507	604	
Annual Growth Rate		2.8%	5.5%	9.9%	7.2%	8.9%	6.0%	6.7
From 1996 to 2014, the an annual rate of 6.7%. From 2008 onward, the number of <u>new</u> patients	consumpti Recombin annual grc going on p	on of plasn ant FIX gro wth rates c prophylaxis	na-derived wth rate wa of recombir shrank yea	and recom as higher t nant factor ar after yea	nbinant fac han rFVIII IX declined ar.	tor IX went (14.6% vs. d because	t up at . 12.5%). the	

















		on D	Percentage	of Hemophi	ia Patients	otoo			
		ON PI	opriylaxis - 2	1002 10 201		lates			
			Perce	ntage of Pat	ients				
Type of	February	September	April	Apri	March	March	January	January	January
Prophylaxis	2012	2010	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Permanent	33.1%	30.9%	13.2%	12.4%	12.1%	8.8%	13.2%	7.9%	7.3%
Temporary	16.6%	15.8%	17.8%	17.3%	15.9%	16.4%	14.4%	14.6%	13.1%
Total	49.7%	46.7%	31.0%	29.7%	27.9%	25.2%	27.6%	22.5%	20.4%
Source: The Mar	kating Bacaar	oh Ruroou Ino	Homophilia	Coro & Drico N	Ionitoring Wa	wo 21 2012			
Source. The Mai	Keting Keseai	ch bureau, mc.	nemoprilla	care & rrice i	ionitoning, wa	We 21, 2015			
1. 0								000/	
In the	United S	tates the	adoption	i of propr	iyiaxis n	as accele	erated: fr	om 20%	OT
hemop	ohilia A a	nd B pati	ents in 20	002 to al	most 509	% in 201	2.		
1- 004	4 000/ -	£ 41							
In 201	4, 63% C	of the sev	ere and i	moderate	e nemopr	nila A pa	tients we	re on	
prophy	laxis, ar	id 24% of	f the hem	iophilia E	b patients	S.			
					•				
			e						
In 201	5, the int	roduction	l of the e	xtended	halt-lite r	ecombin	ant produ	ucts in th	e US
did not	t elicit ma	anv conve	ersions o	f new pa	tients to	prophyla	xis, if an	v – partic	ularly
Elector	to Thio r	nov obon	ao in the	futuro u	ith COL	F F	,	, 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Elocia	te. mis i	nay chan	ige in the	iuture w				1	
Behrin	g's <i>Idelv</i>	<i>ion</i> and w	vith the ir	ntroductio	on of moi	noclonal			
antiho	dies (Ro	che's AC	F 90 an	√ ∆Invlan	n's Fitusi	ran)			RB)
antibo			_ 00, un	a / unyion		, any		É.	

				Februar	у	Feb. '13	Feb	ruary		\sim	X
		Type of		2013		vs. Feb. '	12 20	012			
	T	reatment	Pat	ients	Percent	Change	Per	cent			
	rFVIII		(52	68.9%	7.0%	61	.9%			
	Alphana	te		8	20.0%	-7.0%	27	.0%			
	Humate	Р		7 7.8%		-1.7%	9.	5%			
	Koate D	VI		1 1.1%		-0.5%	1.	6%			
	Wilate			2 2.2%		2.2%	0.	0%			
	Total ITI Patients		9	0	100.0%		100	0.0%			
			Мос	le of Treatme with Is	ents for Hem hibitors - 20	ophilia A Pa 02 to 2013	ients				
	Febr	ruary	February	September	April	April	March	March	January	January	Janua
Type of	20	13	2012	2010	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Treatment	Patients	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Immune Ioi.	80	43.5%	25.5%	39.0%	59.2%	38.7%	32.5%	0.8%	29.9%	26.7%	25.5%
FEIBA	43	23.4%	32.3%	29.4%	29.0%	29.1%	32.9%	39.8%	34.9%	37.8%	37.8%
Novoseven	51	27.7%	39.5%	31.6%	31.8%	31.9%	34.6%	33.2%	29.5%	29.0%	29.4%
Autoplex T	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	5.4%	6.0%	6.9%
Sub-Total	174	94.6%	95.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
	Type of Treatment Immune Tol. PCC FEIBA Novoseven Autoplex T	rFVIII Alphana Humate Koate D Wilate Total IT Immune Tol. 80 PCC 0 FEIBA 43 Novoseven 51 Autoplex T 0	rFVIII Alphanate Humate P Koate DVI Wilate Total ITI Patients Treatment Patients Percent Immune Tol. 80 43.5% PCC 0.0% FEIBA 43 23.4% Novoseven 51 27.7% Autoplex T 0 0.0%	rFVIII 0 Alphanate 1 Humate P Koate DVI Wilate 1 Total ITI Patients 6 More 2013 Type of 2013 Treatment Patients PCC 0 0.0% 23.5% PCC 0 Novoseven 51 22.77% 39.5% Autoplex T 0 0.04% 0.0%	rFVIII 62 Alphanate 18 Humate P 7 Koate DVI 1 Wilate 2 Total ITI Patients 90 Mode of Treatment 90 Treatment Percent Percent Percent Immune Tol. 80 43.5% PCC 0.0% 0.0% Novoseven 51 27.7% Novoseven 51 0.0% Auoplex T 0 0.0%	FVIII 62 68.9% Alphanate 18 20.0% Humate P 7 7.8% Koate DVI 1 1.1% Wilate 2 2.2% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% Mode of Treatments for Hem with Inhibitors - 201 Type of 2013 2012 2010 2008 Treatment Patients Percent Percent Percent Percent Immune Tol. 80 43.5% 23.5% 30.0% 0.0% 0.0% FEBIA 43 23.4% 32.3% 29.4% 29.4% 20.8% Novoseven 51 27.7% 39.5% 31.6% 0.1% 0.0% Autoplex T 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% - Mode of Treatments for Hemophila A Pat with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of Treatment Percent Percent Percent Percent Percent Moveseven 51 23.5% 39.0% 39.2% 38.7% 29.1% Novoseven 51 27.7% 39.5% 31.6% 31.8% 31.9% Autoplex T 0.0%	rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% 61 Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% 27 Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% 9. Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% 1. Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% 0. Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% 100 Mode of Treatments for Hemophilia A Patients with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of Treatment Percent Percent <td< td=""><td>rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% 61.9% Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% 27.0% Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% 9.5% Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% 1.6% Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% 0.0% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% 100.0% Mode of Treatments for Hemophilia A Patients with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of 2012 2010 2008 2006 2006 2006 2006 2005 Treatment Patients Percent Percent</td><td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% 61.9% Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% 27.0% Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% 29.5% Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% 1.6% Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% 0.0% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% 100.0% Mode of Treatments for Hemophilia A Patients with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of 2012 2010 2008 2007 2006 2004 2003 Teatment Percent Percent</td></td<>	rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% 61.9% Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% 27.0% Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% 9.5% Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% 1.6% Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% 0.0% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% 100.0% Mode of Treatments for Hemophilia A Patients with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of 2012 2010 2008 2006 2006 2006 2006 2005 Treatment Patients Percent Percent	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	rFVIII 62 68.9% 7.0% 61.9% Alphanate 18 20.0% -7.0% 27.0% Humate P 7 7.8% -1.7% 29.5% Koate DVI 1 1.1% -0.5% 1.6% Wilate 2 2.2% 2.2% 0.0% Total ITI Patients 90 100.0% 100.0% Mode of Treatments for Hemophilia A Patients with Inhibitors - 2002 to 2013 Type of 2012 2010 2008 2007 2006 2004 2003 Teatment Percent Percent

VIII had lower inhibitor development than those on recombinant factor VIII


















Current practice in platelet transfusion

Platelet transfusion Treatment of bleeding Prevention of bleeding

How to assess efficacy and safety?

Observational studies in transfusion medicine

• Question: Association of blood (platelet) transfusion and survival?

e.g. Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery

 Answer: Transfusion is associated with decreased survival



















Hematology and Oncology patients Prophylactic platelet transfusion - threshold

Outcomes up to 30 days	Illustrative comparative risł	<s (95%="" ci)<="" th=""><th>Relative effect</th><th colspan="2">Relative effect Participants</th></s>	Relative effect	Relative effect Participants	
	Higher trigger 20 / 30 x 10 ⁹ /L	Lower trigger 10 x 10 ⁹ /L			
Patients with bleedings	177 per 1000	239 per 1000 (168 to 336)	RR 1.35 (0.95 to 1.9)	499 (3 studies)	low
Patients with bleedings grade 3 or 4	82 per 1000	81 per 1000 (43 to 154)	RR 0.99 (0.52 to 1.88)	421 (2 studies)	low
No of platelet transfusions		2.09 lower (3.2 to 0.99)		333 (2 studies)	low
Mortality	75 per 1000	134 per 1000 (62 to 286)	RR 1.78 (0.83 to 3.81)	255 (1 study)	low
Estcourt LJ et al., Co	chrane Database Syst	Rev 11:CD010983 (20	15)		









Hematology and Oncology patients Therapeutic-only vs prophylactic platelet transfusion

TABLE						
Bleeding in two randomi	zed controlled studie	es				
WHO Bleeding	Wandt e	et al. (12)		Stanworth e	et al. (13, 22)	
Scale ^{*1}	prophylactic	therapeutic	p-value	prophylactic	therapeutic	p-value
All patients Grade 2 and higher Grade 3 Grade 4	65/343 (19)* ² 3 (1) 4 (1)	127/301 (42) 7 (2) 13 (5)	<0.001 ns 0.016	128/299 (43) 1 (<1) 0	151/301 (50) 4 (1) 2 (1)	0.04 ns ns
Autologous HSCT Grade 2 and higher Grade 3 Grade 4	8/98 (8) 0 0	29/103 (28) 1 (1) 0	0.0005	95/210 (45) 0 0	99/211 (47) 1 (0.5) 2 (1)	ns
Acute leukemia Grade 2 and higher Grade 3 Grade 4	57/245 (24) 3 (1) 4 (2)	98/198 (51) 6 (3) 13 (7)	<0.0001 ns 0.0095	33/89 (37) 1 (1) 0	52/90 (58) 3 (3) 0	<0.05 ns

** WHO Grade 2: mild bleeding (more than isolated petechiae); no erythrocyte transfusion required; WHO Grade 3: bleeding requiring red cell transfusion; WHO Grade 4: symptomatic retinal or CNS bleeding; any life-threatening or fatal bleeding
**² absolute numbers (%)
WHO, World Health Organization; ns, non-significant; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Wandt et al., Dtsch Arztebl Int 111:809 (2014)

Hematology and Oncology patients Therapeutic-only vs prophylactic platelet transfusion

Outcomes up to 30 days	Illustrative comparative risł	(s (95% CI)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Relative effectParticipants(95% CI)(studies)	
	Prophylaxis	No Prophylaxis			
Days with bleeding		0.5 higher (0.1 to 0.9)		599 (1 study)	moderate
Patients with bleedings grade 3 or 4	3 per 1000	10 per 1000 3 to 71	RR 4.91 (0.86 to 28.12)	801 (2 studies)	low
No of platelet transfusions		0.5 lower (0.63 to 0.37)		801 (2 studies)	moderate
Crighton GL et al. C	ochrane Database Svet		15)		







Platelet transfusion for patients with hypoproliferative Thrombocytopenia - Summary
Prophylactic platelet transfusions should be given (autologous HSCT?)
Threshold: ≤ 10 x 10⁹/L
The standard dose of platelet concentrates is appropriate

Platelet transfusion thresholds prior to insertion of central lines
Cochrane review: No RCT
Estcourt LJ et al., Cochrane Database Syst Rev 12:CD011771 (2015)

Surgical patients



ICU patients







Berséus O et al., Transfusion 53:114S (2013)







Conclusion

- Development of international standards for assessment and documentation of bleeding across transfusion trials
- Hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia and a no-prophylactic platelet transfusion strategy: patients perspective? Quality of life?
- Evidence based guidelines for platelet transfusion: Adherence to these guidelines?



















TRANSFUSION PRACTICE
A randomized noninferiority crossover trial of corrected count increments and bleeding in thrombocytopenic hematology patients receiving 2- to 5- versus 6- or 7-day-stored platelets
 Sheila MacLennan,¹ Kay Harding,² Charlotte Llewelyn,³ Louise Choo,⁴ Lekha Bakrania,³ Edwin Massey,^{2.5} Simon Stanworth,⁶ Kate Pendry,^{7.8} and Lorna M. Williamson⁹ BACKGROUND: Bacterial screening offers the possibility of extending platelet (PLT) storage to Day 7.
We conducted a populationity, procedurer trial comparing
PLTs stored for 6 or 7 days versus 2 to 5 days. STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Stable hematology
PLTs stored for 6 or 7 days versus 2 to 5 days. STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Stable hematology patients were allocated to receive blocks of 2- to 5- and 6- or 7-day PLTs in random order. The primary outcome was
PLTs stored for 6 or 7 days versus 2 to 5 days. STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Stable hematology patients were allocated to receive blocks of 2- to 5- and 6- or 7-day PLTs in random order. The primary outcome was the proportion of successful transfusions during the first block, defined as a corrected count increment (CCI) of more than 4.5 at 8 to 24 hours posttransfusion









г			GRADING		
	0	1	2	3	4
MUCOCUTANEOUS					
Epistaxis	None	< 1 hour in duration	> 1 hour duration	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Oropharyngeal	None	< 1 hour in duration	> 1 hour duration	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Petechiae/pupura (hemorrhage/bleeding into skin or mucosa)	None	l inch in diameter, confluent purpura	purpura > 1 men in diameter, generalized petechiae, purpura of skin	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
GASTROINTESTINAL					
Melena	None	N/A	Positive occult blood	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Rectal bleeding / hematochezia (visible blood)	None	N/A	Positive occult blood	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Covert GI bleeding (no visible blood; not black or tarry stools)	None	Positive occult blood	See melena / hematochezia	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Hematemesis	None	N/A	Positive visual / occult blood	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
GENITOURINARY Hematuria	None	Up to 1+ (slt,trace,small)	2+ (moderate) or greater	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
Vaginal bleeding, abnormal	None	Spotting, <2 saturated pads/day	>2 saturated pads/day	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
BRONCHO - PULMONARY					
Hemoptysis	None	N/A	Positive	See footnote 1	See footnote 2
MUSCOLOSKELETAL & SOFT TISSUE	None	N/A	Spontaneous hematoma; joint bleeding	See footnote 1	Permanent debilitating change; See footnote 2
BODY CAVITY Pleural, peritoneal, pericardial, retroperitoneal	None	N/A	Red cell on microscopic exam	Grossly bloody	See footnote 2
CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM CNS bleeding / hemorrhage	None	N/A	N/A	Bleeding on CT w/o clinical consequences	Non fatal bleeding wit neurological signs and symptoms
Retinal bleeding	None	Retinal bleeding w/o visual impairment	N/A	N/A	Visual impairment, i.e. fi deficit
INVASIVE SITES					
All	None	N/A	Any bleeding around catheter; bleeding at venipuncture sites	See footnote 1	See footnote 2

		HEMORR	HAGE/BLEEDING	3	P	age 4 o
Adverse Event	Short Name	1	2	Grade	4	
	hemorrhage is graded in th	he OCULAR/VISUAL CATEGO)RY	3	4	
Hemorrhage/Bleeding – Other (Specify,)	Hemorrhage – Other (Specify)	Mild without transfusion	-	Transfusion indicated	Catastrophic bleeding, requiring major non- elective intervention	Dea
Petechiae/purpura (hemorrhage/bleeding into skin or mucosa) ALSO CONSIDER: Fibringgen	Petechiae	Few petechiae	Moderate petechiae; purpura	Generalized petechiae or purpura	-	-
ALSO CONSIDER: Fibrinogen	; INR (International Norma	alized Ratio of prothrombin time	e); Platelets; PTT (Partial Tr	nromboplastin Time).		

Study	Grade ≥2	Score
SPRINT control ¹	63.6 %	WHO
SPRINT amatosalen ¹	62.6 %	WHO
SToP low dose ²	51.7 %	WHO
SToP standard dose ²	49.2 %	WHO
PLADO low dose ³	70.0 %	WHO
PLADO medium dose ³	68.0 %	WHO
PLADO high dose ³	70.0 %	WHO
HOVON control ⁴	19.0 %	CTCAE
HOVON PAS ⁴	15.0 %	CTCAE
HOVON amotosalen ⁴	32.0 %	CTCAE
IPTAS Intercept 5	23.0 %	WHO
IPTAS Intercept control ⁵	16.5 %	WHO



























Safe Supplies: Testing the	Nation. An	nual Re	view fro	m the NHS	SBT/HPA E	pidemic	ology Unit	
Age of platelets (days)	blatelets (days) 1 2 3	3	4	5	6	NK	total	
All species	0	2	8	11	12		4	38
Staph. epidermidis		1		2	7	1		11
Bacillus cereus				4			1	5
Escherichia coli		1	1				1	3
Group B Streptococcus			1	1			1	3
Group G Streptococcus				2	1			3
Klebsiella pneumoniae			2	1				3
Staph. aureus				1	1		1	3
























Regulatory classification Class III Class IIb Class IIb or day Class IIb for device Pathogen reduction Broad spectrum Broad spectrum Broad spectrum Shelf-life Up to 7 days in PAS and plasma Up to 7 days in PAS Up to 5 days in plasma Up to 5 days Patient populations No exclusions* No exclusions Not stated Inactivation of leucocytes Can replace gamma or x- irradiation Can replace gamma or x- irradiation Can replace gamma or x- irradiation No exclusions		Intercept	Mirasol	Theraflex
Pathogen reduction Broad spectrum Broad spectrum Broad spectrum Shelf-life Up to 7 days in PAS and plasma Up to 7 days in PAS Up to 5 days Up to 5 days Patient populations No exclusions* No exclusions Not stated Inactivation of leucocytes Can replace gamma or x-irradiation Can replace GMM care Can replace GMM care	Regulatory classification	Class III	Class IIb	Class IIa for bag Class IIb for device
Shelf-life Up to 7 days in PAS and plasma Up to 7 days in PAS Up to 7 days in PAS Up to 5 days Up to 5 days Patient populations No exclusions* No exclusions Not stated Inactivation of leucocytes Can replace gamma or x- irradiation Can replace gamma or x- irradiation Can replace gamma or x- irradiation	Pathogen reduction	Broad spectrum	Broad spectrum	Broad spectrum
Patient populations No exclusions* No exclusions Not stated Inactivation of leucocytes Can replace gamma or x- irradiation	Shelf-life	Up to 7 days in PAS and plasma	Up to 7 days in PAS Up to 5 days in plasma	Up to 5 days
Inactivation of leucocytes Can replace gamma or x- irradiation Not stated	Patient populations	No exclusions*	No exclusions	Not stated
Inactivities of CMV Conversions CMV core Conversions CMV core Not stated	Inactivation of leucocytes	Can replace gamma or x- irradiation	Can replace gamma or x- irradiation	Can replace gamma o irradiation
negative serology negative serology	Inactivation of CMV	Can replace CMV sero- negative serology	Can replace CMV sero- negative serology	Not stated

	Intercept	Mirasol	Therafle
Recovery and survival	Reduced by 16-20% d5 plasma	Reduced by 25- 27% d5 plasma	Reduced by 2 29% d5 SSP
Clinical studies	Eurosprite d5 CI	MIRACLE d5 CI	None
	SPRINT d5 bleeding		
	HOVON d7 CI		
	TESSI d6-7 CI		
Allergic reactions	↓Due to PAS?	?	Not known
HV data	Published, no issues raised	Limited	Not in use

















Review

Improving platelet transfusion safety: biomedical and technical considerations

Blood Transfus DOI 10.2450/2015.0042-15 © SIMTI Servizi Srl

Olivier Garraud¹², Fabrice Cognasse²³, Jean-Daniel Tissot⁴, Patricia Chavarin³, Syria Laperche¹, Pascal Morel⁵, Jean-Jacques Lefrère^{1,6}, Bruno Pozzetto², Miguel Lozano⁷, <u>Neil Blumberg⁸</u>, Jean-Claude Osselaer⁴

¹National Institute of Blood Transfusion (INTS), Paris; ²GIMAP-EA3064, Faculty of Medicine of Saint-Etienne, University of Lyon, Saint-Etienne, France; ³Auvergne-Loire Blood Establishment, Saint-Etienne, France; ⁴Blood Transfusion Service of the Swiss Red Cross, Epalinges, Switzerland; ³Bourgogne Franche-Comté Blood Establishment, Besançon, France; ⁶Paris Descartes University, Paris, France; ⁷Department of Hemotherapy and Hemostasis, University Clinic Hospital, Barcelona, Spain; ⁸Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, NY, United States of America

(Unofficial) Representatives from France – Switzerland – Spain (Catalonia) – USA – Belgium → Preference for BC-PCs over routine SDA-PCs

Neither consensual nor universal: In certain countries (Blood Establishments [BEs]) – such as in the Netherlands – BC-PCs are the most common PCs (SDA-PCs for immunization situations only, < 10%) while in other countries (such as Germany), there still is a preference of SDP-PCs vs pooled PCs. In the US, pools come essentially from Platelet Rich Plasma [PRP], but voices start to raise in favour of BCs (M Yazer and others).

2_Hemovi	gilar	۱ce	re	ep	orts	on allo-imn	านท	ization
					Tableau 3	: Cession des PSL en 2014 par type o	le produit	
		Тур	e de PS	sL*		Quantité	F	ourcentage
		CG	R			2 445 524		78,64 %
ansm	Rapport thémetique		PS PS-SC PS-IA		BC-PCs	4 849 141 652 14 753 Amotosale	PAS	0,16 % 4,56 % 0,47 %
		CP/ CP/ CP/	4 4-SC 4- I A		SDA-PC	7 085 125 202 11 923 Amotosale	n PAS	0,23 % 4,03 % 0,38 %
		PFO	C-Se C-IA C-SD			107 850 111 916 135 336		3,47 % 3,60 % 4,36 %
Rapport d'activité Hémovigilance	e 2014	PL) CG	'0 A			677 88		
						201		100 %
				So	urce : EES et CTSA	0 101 100		100 //
Tableau 9 : Nombre et incidence des EIR	déclarés d'imputabi	lité 2 à 3, sel	on le typr	e de PSL	, 2014			
		Taux de déc	aration pc	ur 100 00	0 PSL cédés			
Diagnostic	Nombre d'EIR	Tous PSL	CGR	Plasma	Plaquettes			
Allo-immunisation isolée								
	2 368	76,21	87,21	0,84	75,62			
Allergie	2 368	76,21	87,21 5,27	0,84	75,62	It is however dif	ficult to	ascribe
Allergie Réaction fébrie non hémolytique (RFNH)	2 368 602 595	76,21 19,37 19,15	87,21 5,27 19,91	0,84 32,89 0,84	75,62 116,54 34,37	It is however dif	ficult to	ascribe
Allergie Réaction fébrie non hémolytique (RFNH) Oedème pulmonaire de surcharge	2 368 602 595 185	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12	75,82 118,54 34,37 2,29	It is however dif	ficult to	ascribe
Allergie Réaction fébrile non hémolytique (RFNH) Oedème pulmonaire de surcharge Incompatibilité immunologique Désatter besteaches	2 368 802 595 185 184 161	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28	75,82 118,54 34,37 2,29 31,10 2,82	It is however dif immunization to on	ficult to e comp	o ascribe ponent only
Allergie Réaction fébrie non hémolytique (RFNH) Oedeme putronaise de surcharge Incompatibilité immunologique Réaction hypertensive Inaffracifit cardisionnale	2 368 602 595 185 184 161 37	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0	75.62 118,54 34,37 2.29 31,10 2.62 11,13	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir	ficult to e comp og PCs i	o ascribe ponent only usually also
Altergio Réaction fébrie non hémolytique (RFNH) Oedeme pulmonaire de sucharge Inormatilité minunologique Réaction hypertonsive Inefficació transfusionnelle Hemosidrisee	2 366 602 595 185 184 161 37 25	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0	75.62 116,54 34,37 2.29 31,10 2.62 11,13 0	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir	ficult to e comp ng PCs u	o ascribe oonent only usually also
Alergía Alergía Réscient fébrie non hémolyisjue (RFNH) Odelme putrosinia de succharge Incompetibilité immunologique Réscient pagetonsive Inefficacité translusionnel Hémolódistrasilisionnel Hémolódistrasilisionnel	2 366 602 595 185 184 161 37 25 1	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0	75,82 116,54 34,37 2,29 31,10 2,62 11,13 0 0	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive	ficult to e comp ng PCs u RBCCs	o ascribe ponent only usually also
Alergia Reaction (Retre non hendpirgue (RPNH) Odefme putnomian de succharge Incompatibilité immunicagique Reaction hyportensive Inefficialité transfusionnelle Hendroidérices Accidents métadolques Degendet non précisé	2 368 602 595 185 184 161 37 25 1 20	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75,62 116,54 34,37 2,29 31,10 2,62 11,13 0 0 2,95	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive	ficult to e comp ng PCs u RBCCs	o ascribe ponent only usually also
Allergia Raection florter onn hömolystuse (FRH-1) Gedeme purinonale de surcharge Incompatibilitie immunologique Reaction hyportexitette Inefficiacité transfusionnelle Hemosédense Accidente médiatologiues Diagnotic non précisé Reaction hyportexite	2 368 602 595 185 184 181 37 25 1 20 18	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64 0,58	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	76,82 116,54 34,37 229 31,10 2.62 11,13 0 0 0 2.95 1,31	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive	ficult to e comp ng PCs u RBCCs	o ascribe ponent only usually also
Alergia Margia Reaction (Roter) non henolysique (RPAH) Oceáme purinovaina de succharge Incompatibilité immunologique Reaction hypotensive Inefficiale transfusionne le Henologices Accidents métaboliques Dagnadati non péciela Reaction hypotensive Dagnadati non péciela	2 368 802 595 185 184 161 37 25 1 20 1 20 18 11	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64 0,58 0,35	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	76,82 118,54 34,37 2,29 31,10 2,62 11,13 0 0 2,95 1,31 0,33	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive	ficult to e comp ng PCs to RBCCs	o ascribe ponent only usually also
Alergia Reaction facto non hémotylogua (RFNH-1) Odefene pulmonale de sucharge Incompatibilité immunologique Reaction hypothemistre Inefficialité transflucionnelle Accidents métaboliques Accidents métaboliques Dagondes non isésé Reaction hypothemistre Dagondes non lisés	2 368 602 595 185 184 184 161 37 25 1 20 18 11 20 18 11 9	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64 0,58 0,35 0,29	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41 0,20	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75.82 118,54 34.37 2.29 31,10 2.82 11,13 0 0 2.95 1.31 0.85	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive Meanwhile, <u>allo-im</u>	ficult to e comp ng PCs to RBCCs muniza	o ascribe ponent only usually also tion is from
Alergia Margia Reaction (Roth non hendpique (RFN4-1) Odelme purnovaim de suchinge Incompetituité suchinge Inditionale transfluence Inditionale transfluence Accident métadosture Dagmeter non pécide Reaction hypotentive Degemeter putnovaire Heisonel Hendrijke auto	2 388 802 585 185 184 161 37 25 1 20 18 19 19 8 8	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,84 0,35 0,29 0,26	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41 0,20 0,29	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75.62 118,54 34.37 2.39 31,10 2.82 11,13 0 0 2.95 1.31 0.33 0.65 0.33	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive Meanwhile, <u>allo-im</u>	ficult to e comp ng PCs u RBCCs <u>muniza</u>	o ascribe ponent only usually also tion is from
Alergia Margia Reaction (Serto non hémolystus (RPNH) Odefme pulmonale de surcharge Incompatibilité immunologique Réaction hypotensive Inefficialité translationnele Accidents métalociques Dagondes non précleté Réaction hypotensive Dagondes non précleté Réaction hypotensive Dagondes non précleté Réaction hypotensive Dagondes non précleté	2 338 802 595 185 184 181 37 25 1 20 19 19 11 9 8 6	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64 0,58 0,25 0,29 0,26 0,19	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41 0,20 0,29 0,12	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75.82 116.54 34.37 2.29 31,10 2.82 11,13 0 0 2.95 1.31 0.33 0.65 0.33 0.98	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive Meanwhile, <u>allo-im</u> <u>far #1 Adverse Even</u> t	ficult to e comp ng PCs u RBCCs <u>muniza</u> : (AE) ir	o ascribe ponent only usually also tion is from Transfusion
Alergia Alergia Reaction (Rotor non herophytique (RPN+1) Oceaning particularia de suchunga Incompatibilità immunologique Reaction hypotensive Accolerta metaboliques Accolerta metaboliques Dagmonte non periode Reaction hypotensive Degenores non la estimate Degenores non la estim	2 398 802 595 165 164 161 37 25 1 20 18 11 20 18 11 9 8 6 6 6	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,64 0,58 0,25 0,26 0,19 0,26	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41 0,20 0,29 0,12 0,04	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75.82 116.54 34.37 2.29 31,10 2.62 11,13 0 0 2.95 1.31 0.33 0.65 0.33 0.98 1.84	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive Meanwhile, <u>allo-im</u> far #1 Adverse Event	ficult to le comp ng PCs u RBCCs <u>muniza</u> : (AE) ir	o ascribe ponent only usually also tion is from Transfusion
Alergia Margia Reaction forter con henotyfsue (RFN+1) Odefner pulmonian de suchtinge Incompatibilité immundiogue Reaction hypotensive Inefficialité transfusionelle Hemosidérices Accidents franklaboliques Degenders non pelosie Reaction hypotensive Degenders non lisité Ockleme pulmoniarie Halonnel Hémotyte autre	2 338 802 595 185 184 161 37 25 1 20 18 11 20 18 11 9 8 6 6 6 5 5	76,21 19,37 19,15 5,95 5,92 5,18 1,19 0,80 0,03 0,68 0,03 0,68 0,35 0,29 0,26 0,19 0,19 0,19	87,21 5,27 19,91 7,11 3,64 6,21 0,12 1,02 0,04 0,45 0,57 0,41 0,20 0,29 0,12 0,04 0,20	0,84 32,89 0,84 1,12 0 0,28 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7582 11834 34,37 2.29 31,10 2.85 1,31 0 0 2.85 1,31 0,33 0,65 0,33 0,68 1,64 0 0,03 0,03 0,03 0,03 0,03 0,03 0,03 0	It is however dif immunization to on as patients receivir receive Meanwhile, <u>allo-im</u> far #1 Adverse Event	ficult to e comp g PCs o RBCCs <u>muniza</u> : (AE) ir	o ascribe ponent only usually also tion is from Transfusion

Gravite	CGR		Famille de PSL		
		Plaquettes	Plasma	Effectif	%
Grade 1	2 129	229	3	2 361	99,7 %
Grade 2	5	2	0	7	0,3 %
Total	2 134	231	3	2 368	100 %
Taux pour 100 000 unités cédées	87,8	76	0,8	73,9	
	RBCCs	PCs	_		
bleau 13 : Répartition des allo-immunis	sations isol	ées déclarées (2014	d'imputabili	té 2 à 3, selo	n l'antico
a d'anticome	outon,	Effectif			2 /_
		2 244		08.9	/0
érythrocytaire		2 341		30.0	36 %
<u>érythrocytaire</u> Dont érythrocytaire – ABO		2 341		0,0	36 % 8 %
bleau 13 : Répartition des allo-immunis	sations isolo saisi,	ées déclarées 2014	d'imputabili	té 2 à 3, selo	n l'a

Г

Anticorns anti-én	vtbrocvtaire non ABO	Effectif	9/2		
Anticorps anti-éry JK1 RH3 KEL1 FY1 RH3 MNS3 JK2 RH1 LU1 KK1 MNS3 JK2 RH4 RE13 RE14 MNS1 RH5 FY2 CH/RG1 LE1 MNS4 P1 VEL2 KN1 MNS2 YT1 DI3 FY3 LE2 RH6 CO1 LU2 Val Total	throcytaire non ABO Classical distribution of Abs to RBC AgH:1 prevention policy in force	Effectif 443 400 355 257 139 113 109 82 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	% 18,98 % 17,14 % 15,21 % 15,21 % 5,96 % 4,84 % 3,94 % 3,65 % 3,66 % 3,43 % 0,64 % 0,43 % 0,43 % 0,13 % 0,13 % 0,13 % 0,09 % 0,09 % 0,09 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 % 0,04 %		
	Tableau 15 : Repartition des a	anticorps non anti- d'imput	∙érythrocytaires dans l'allo abilité 2 à 3, 2014	p-immunisation is	olée déclaree
	Anticorps non anti-érythrocytaire			Effectif	%
	HLA classe I	anti-class I 🚽	 can originate 	17	68,00 %
	HLA Cw1	from either	Platelets or	2	8,00 %
	HLA non précise			2	8,00 %
	HLA A2	residual LKs		1	4,00 %
	HLA classe II			1	4,00 %
	HLA DR14(6)			1	4,00 %
	Plaquettes non listé			1	4,00 %











<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>







\rightarrow Platelet transfusion refractoriness

- Recognized more than 5 decades ago
- Clearly associated with leukocytes
- Boosted after previous transfusions, pregnancies, transplantations
- Much less PC refractoriness and immunizations when LKD became routine





on Leukoreduced -	Controls: untreated pooled random donor platelets	Leukoreduced pooled random donor platelets	Leukoreduced single-donor apheresis platelets
Number of patients	131	137	132
Alloimmunization Refractoriness Alloimmunization and refractoriness	45% 16% 13%	18% (P < 0.001)* 7% (P = 0.03)* 3% (P = 0.004)*	$ \begin{array}{c} 17\% \ (P < 0.001)^{*} \\ 8\% \ (P = 0.06)^{*} \\ 4\% \ (P = 0.01)^{*} \end{array} $
Adapted from refe *as compared to c	erence 40. control group.		
		The Trial to Reduce A Group. Leukocyte red platelets to prevent al platelet transfusions. A	Alloimmunization to Platelet luction and ultraviolet B irra loimmunization and refracto N Engl J Med 1997: 337 : 18





immunization to RBC antigens)								
	Ren	winder!						
leau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm	unisations iso	plées déclarée	es d'imputa	bilité 2 à 3, s	selon le ty			
leau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm	unisations iso PSL et la g	olées déclarée ravité, 2014 Famille de PSL	es d'imputa	bilité 2 à 3, s To	selon le ty otal			
oleau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm Gravité	unisations iso PSL et la g	olées déclarée ravité, 2014 Famille de PSL Plaquettes	es d'imputa Plasma	bilité 2 à 3, s To Effectif	selon le ty otal %			
oleau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm Gravité Grade 1	unisations iso PSL et la g	olées déclarée ravité, 2014 Famille de PSI Plaquettes 229	es d'imputal	bilité 2 à 3, s To Effectif 2 361	selon le ty otal 99,7 %			
oleau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm Gravité Grade 1 Grade 2	unisations iso PSL et la g CGR 2 129 5	Diées déclarée ravité, 2014 Eamille de PSL Plaquettes 229 2	es d'imputa Plasma 3 0	bilité 2 à 3, s To Effectif 2 361 7	selon le ty otal 99,7 % 0,3 %			
oleau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm Gravité Grade 1 Grade 2 Total	unisations iso PSL et la g CGR 2 129 5 2 134	Diées déclarée ravité, 2014 Famille de PSL Plaquettes 229 2 2 231	es d'imputal	bilité 2 à 3, s To <u>Effectif</u> 2 361 7 2 368	selon le ty otal 99,7 % 0,3 % 100 %			
oleau 12 : Répartition des allo-imm Gravité Grade 1 Grade 2 Total Taux pour 100 000 unités cédées	unisations iso PSL et la g 2 129 5 2 134 87,8	Diées déclarée ravité, 2014 Famille de PSI Plaquettes 229 2 2 231 76	Plasma 3 0 3 0,8	bilité 2 à 3, s To Effectif 2 361 7 2 368 73,9	selon le ty otal 99,7 % 0,3 % 100 %			



Parameter	Primary anti-D formers	All other recipients	P value
Number of recipients (%)	7 (1.4)	478 (98.6)	NC
Gender (Male/Female)	4/3	299/179	0.2
Median age (range), years	60 (2-100)	65 (39-85)	0.2
ABO group (O/A/B/AB)	3/3/1/0	206/212/43/17	0.9
Main diagnosis (haematology- oncology/others)	3/4	264/214	0.5
Iatrogenic immunosuppression (ves/no/unknown)	3/3/1	197/177/104	0.9
History of pregnancy (yes/no)*	2/0	55/12	0.5
Patient location: Europe/Americas	2/5	222/256	0.6
Previous RBC transfusion (yes/no)	6/1	217/261	0.08
Previous PC transfusion (yes/no)	2/5	94/384	0.9
Transfused PCs (whole blood/ apheresis/both)	2/4/1	179/288/71	0.8
Median length of serological follow-up (range), days	216 (32–368)	75 (28–2111)	0.09

Table II. Type and quantity of recipients in this study.	the platele	ts transfused	to the 485
Platelet product type	D+ (n)	D- (<i>n</i>)	Total (n)
Whole blood-derived platelets	1180	1505	2685
Apheresis platelets	1970	694	2664
Total number	3150	2199	5349

Table IV. Number of platelet concentrate units administered to those who produced a primary anti-D and those who did not. Data are presented as median (range) unless otherwise specified.

Parameter	Primary anti-D formers	All other recipients	P value
Recipients, n (% of total)	7 (1.4)	478 (98.6)	NC
D+ PC	2 (1-31)	2 (1-115)	0.9
D- PC	0 (0-14)	0 (0-127)	0.5
Total PC	2 (1-37)	3 (1-157)	0.5

PC, platelet concentrate; NC, Not calculated.

	ELSEVIER MASSEDI	EM consulte TRANSFUSION CLINIQUE ET BIOLOGIQUE que et Biologique 21 (2014) 210-215	
	MASSON	Mise au point	
	Transfusion plaque intér	ettaire et iso-immunisation anti-Rh1 : êt de la séroprévention	
	Platelet transfusion and immu	nization anti-Rh1: Implication for immunoprophylaxis	
		H. Chambost ^{a,*,b}	
	^a Service d'hématologie oncologie pédiatrique, hôpital d'Enfa ^b Inserm, UMR_S 1062, faculté I	nts La Timone, assistance publique des hápitaxes de Marseille, 264, rue Saint-Fierre, 13383 Marseille codes 5, France Medicient Timone, dicMarseille université, 13003 Marseille, France Sisponible sur Internet le 2 octobre 2014	
Tableau 1 Risque d'allo-immunisation plaq	uettaire anti-D en l'absence de séropré	ivention selon le terrain et la durée de suivi. La durée de suiv ternier dénistage sérologique à la recherche d'anticorps anti-l	vi correspond au temps écon Rhésus.
entre la prennere exposition trans	stasionnene u des praquentes sans er er	inter depistage service and interesting and in	
Référence	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%)	Terrain, contexte	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes)
Référence	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%) 8/102 (7.8)	Terrain, contexte	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24]	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4)	Terrain, contexte	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16]	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1980 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7.8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atovehi et al., 2000 [15]	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%) \$\mathcal{8}1102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76)
Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/50	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76) 38 (2–133)
Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7.8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2-174) 27 (2-182) 3 (2-12) 27 (4-104) 8 (2-76) 38 (2-76) 38 (2-133) 27 (2-223)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Moļnar et al., 2002 [19]	Taux d'anti-D Cas/patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76) 38 (2–133) 27 (2–223)
Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1971 [23] McLeod et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2-174) 27 (2-182) 3 (2-12) 27 (4-104) 8 (2-76) 38 (2-133) 27 (2-223) 8 (6-11)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1990 [16] Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7.8) 9/49 (18.4) 3/16 (18.7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13.6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) (255 transfusions)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76) 38 (2–133) 27 (2–223) 8 (6–11)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19] Cid et al., 2002 [21]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) \$\mathcal{8}/102 (7.8) 9/49 (18.4) 3/16 (18.7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) \$\mathcal{8}/59 (13.6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) (255 transfusions) 0/22 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques Hématologie (chimiothérapie +++)	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2-174) 27 (2-182) 3 (2-12) 27 (4-104) 8 (2-76) 38 (2-133) 27 (2-223) 8 (6-11) 8 (1-37)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2002 [19] Molnar et al., 2002 [19] Cid et al., 2012 [11] Cid et al., 2011 [17]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) (255 transfusions) 0/22 (0) 6/177 (3,4)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques Hématologie (chimiothérapie +++) Hématologie	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2-174) 27 (2-182) 3 (2-12) 27 (4-104) 8 (2-76) 38 (2-133) 27 (2-223) 8 (6-11) 8 (1-37) 24 (4-351)
Référence Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1990 [16] Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Moļnar et al., 2002 [19] Cid et al., 2002 [21] Cid et al., 2011 [17]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7.8) 9/49 (18.4) 3/16 (18.7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13.6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) 0/22 (0) 6/177 (3.4) 4/31 (12.9)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques Hématologie (chimiothérapie +++) Hématologie Oncologie	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76) 38 (2–76) 38 (2–133) 27 (2–223) 8 (6–11) 8 (1–37) 24 (4–351) 54 (5–375)
Goldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1988 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1992 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19] Cid et al., 2012 [17] Cid et al., 2011 [17]	Taux d' anti-D Taux d' anti-D Cas/patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) (255 transfusions) 0/7 (20) 6/177 (3,4) 4/31 (12,9) 2/107 (1,9)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques Hématologie (chimiothérapie +++) Hématologie Oncologie Pas d'immunodépression	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2–174) 27 (2–182) 3 (2–12) 27 (4–104) 8 (2–76) 38 (2–133) 27 (2–223) 8 (6–11) 8 (1–37) 24 (4–351) 54 (5–375) 59 (4–718)
Coldfinger et al., 1971 [23] Baldwin et al., 1978 [24] McLeod et al., 1990 [16] Heim et al., 1922 [30] ^a Atoyebi et al., 2000 [15] Molnar et al., 2002 [19] Cid et al., 2002 [21] Cid et al., 2011 [17] O'Brian et al., 2014 [25]	Taux d'anti-D Cas'patients (%) 8/102 (7,8) 9/49 (18,4) 3/16 (18,7) 0/37 (0) 0/24 (0) 8/59 (13,6) 0/35 (0) (490 transfusions) 0/7 (0) (255 transfusions) 0/22 (0) 6/177 (3,4) 4/31 (12,9) 2/107 (1,9) 0/62 (0)	Terrain, contexte Traitement immunosuppresseur Immunodépression, oncologie Immunodépression Immunodépression Hématologie Maladies non hématologiques Onco-hématologie pédiatrique hors greffe Greffes de CSH pédiatriques Hématologie (chimiothérapie +++) Hématologie Pas d'immunodépression Immunodépression	n semaines de suivi Médiane (extrêmes) 36 (2-174) 27 (2-182) 3 (2-12) 27 (4-104) 8 (2-76) 38 (2-133) 27 (2-223) 8 (6-11) 8 (1-37) 24 (4-351) 54 (5-375) 59 (4-718) ? (4-7)

Blood Transfus 2014; **12** Suppl 1: s147-8 DOI 10.2450/2013.0099-13

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Red blood cell alloimmunisation after platelet transfusion: a 5-year study

Pierre Moncharmont, Gregory Barday, Francis Meyer

Department of Haemovigilance, Rhône-Alpes French Blood Service, Gerland, Lyon, France

 Table I
 Number and type of PLT concentrates released and type of products involved in the post-transfusion RBC alloimmunisations observed during the study.

Year	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Products released:					
Number of apheresis PC	15,135	14,906	15,666	14,762	13,506
(%)	(84.0)	(82.0)	(79.8)	(70.6)	(59.4)
Number of pooled PC	2,892	3,259	3,692	6,159	9,247
(%)	(16.0)	(18.0)	(20.2)	(29.4)	(40.6)
Type and number of products involved in the notified cases of RBC alloimmunisation:					
Apheresis PC	4	4	6	6	4
Pooled PC	1	1	7	7	8

PC: platelet concentrate; RBC: red blood cell.

1.3% allo-immunization to RBC Antigens

Anti-red blood cell antigen alloimmunization after platelet component transfusion: comparison of platelet sources

Considering this conflicting data, we thus aimed at revisiting the situation and we reviewed all PC transfusion episodes in a regional setting over the past five years. A total of 54,202 PCs were delivered to 17,135 patients from 2010 to 2014: 27,199 WBPCs and 27,003 APCs. The number of APCs that were collected in these regional facilities were 24,320 over those past five years. Over this period, three types of separators were used: 20,750 by TRIMA (TerumoBCT) [0.8532%], 2,300 by AMICUS (Fenwall/Fresenius-Kabi, Lake Zurich, IL) [0.0945%], and 1,270 by MCS+ (Haemonetics, Braintree, MA) [0.0522%]. Hemovigilance surveys were operated by medical officers in hospitals and reported electronically to the national regulatory authority. The hemovigilance policy was regional and, based on that characteristics and on the homogeneity of the PC production by only one serving center, it can be assumed that intergroup comparisons in our study is valid.

Olivier GARRAUD^{1,2}, Abdelhalim BENAMARA³, Vincent BOST³, Pascale ORIOL⁴, Christiane MOUNIER⁵, Sophie ACQUART³ ¹ EA3064 Faculty of Medicine of Saint-Etienne, University of Lyon, 42023 Saint-Etienne, France Over 5 years, we recorded 25 and 10 RBC antigen alloimmunizations after WBPC and APC transfusions, respectively (p=0.015, by means of a corrected Khi² test; Odds ratio: 2.49). Details are given in **Table 1**. While being an exceptional event, alloimmunization to RBC antigens was more frequent after WBPCs compared to APCs. This study could not assess a bias in PC allocation in patients, pertaining that some patients may have more chances of getting immunized that others; however, there was no protocol in force in this region to assign BCs other than on parameters such as availability, ABO match and—eventually—age of the products. Thus, no patient category has received for example APCs in preference to WBBCs or vice-versa.



Hypothesis

- SDA-PC RBC contamination: ≤0.5x 10⁶ residual RBCs
- BC-PCs: estimated at below or equal 10⁶ residual RBCs
- PRT Amotosalen: must be below 4x 10⁶ residual RBCs (visual estimation)
- However, ٠
 - This doesn't match with the pre-storage hypothesis (in process LKD)
- Is there a role for Microparticles? (experimentally more immunogenic than intact erythrocytes)??
- ??



Red blood cell non-ABO-identical transfusions are harmful: really? Karina Yazdanbakhsh, PhD¹

e-mail: kyazdanbakhsh@nybloodcenter.org Vijay Nandi, MPH² ¹Laboratory of Complement Biology ²Data Analytic Services doi:10.1111/trf.13505 © 2016 AABB New York Blood Center TRANSFUSION 2016;56;543-545

New York, NY

- 7. Refaai MA, Fialkow LB, Heal JM, et al. An association of ABO non-identical platelet and cryoprecipitate transfusions with altered red cell transfusion needs in surgical patients. Vox Sang 2011;101:55-60.
- 8. Henrichs KE Howk N. Masel DS. et al. Providing ABOidentical platelets and cryoprecipitate to (almost) all patients: approach, logistics, and associated decreases in transfusion reaction and red blood cell alloimmunization incidence. Transfusion 2012;52:635-40.
- 9. Triulzi DL Assmann SE Strauss RG, et al. The impact of platelet transfusion characteristics on posttransfusion platelet increments and clinical bleeding in patients with hypoproliferative thrombocytopenia. Blood 2012;119:5553-62
- 10. Kaufman RM, Assmann SF, Triulzi DJ, et al. Transfusionrelated adverse events in the plate et dose study Transfusion 2015;55:144-53.

ABO identity vs compatibility may reduce allo-immunization (along to the increase of platelet recovery) \rightarrow this information should be examined in more detail

5_Concluding remarks and paths for improvement?

- Allo-immunization linked to platelet transfusion is not frequent occurrence
 - Allo-immunization to HLA or HPA
 - Allo-immunization to RBC antigens
- BC- and SDA-PC seem equivalent regarding this risk
 - The ADAPT study
 - This can be mitigated if one considers anti-HLA immunization (BC-PC seem better)
 - ...if one considers anti-RBC Ag immunization (SDA-PC seem better)
 - Further studies needed to confirm

















Total Donor Ocion/100 (habitars WB donations (habitars) Use of WB (U) Use of (U) Use of Plann <			Collection			Utilization f	or transfusion	n	
Autria 334,066 2.82 472,20 30 425,537 74,242 37,245 Belgium 365,813 3.37 549,266 0 516,035 92,761 69,328 Bulgium 365,813 3.37 549,266 0 516,035 92,761 69,328 Citch Republic 376,176 36.4 440,00 393 389,521 201,220 31,866 6,606 Citch Republic 376,176 36.4 440,00 0 316,736 6,610 33,907 6,610 33,907 6,610 33,907 6,610 33,907 6,610 33,907 6,44,283 120,123 43,023 7,726 7,726 27,726 44,905 33,75 140,907 7,557 1,103,1375 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 133,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 134,757 <th></th> <th>Total Donors</th> <th>Donors/100 0</th> <th>WB donations</th> <th>Use of WB (U)</th> <th>Use of RBC (U)</th> <th>Use of Plasma</th> <th>Use of Platelets (U)</th> <th>Collection and us</th>		Total Donors	Donors/100 0	WB donations	Use of WB (U)	Use of RBC (U)	Use of Plasma	Use of Platelets (U)	Collection and us
Beiging Burgeria 365,813 3.3.7 549,266 0 516,035 92,761 69,328 Burgeria 119,110 16.2 152,656 ¹¹ 1.53 133,120 93,666 6.606 Casch Republic 376,176 3.64 440,700 333 389,521 201,220 33,866 6.606 Casch Republic 376,176 3.64 440,700 333 389,521 201,220 33,866 6.606 Casch Republic 376,176 3.64 440,700 333 389,521 201,220 33,866 6.606 Casch Republic 376,176 3.64 440,700 333 389,521 201,220 33,867 Finland 154,002 2.86 2.85,52 33,17 2.44,317 389,71 32,612 33,375 Greace 533,015 50.8 613,527 93,807 33,812 2.44,311 33,875 11,010 Ubrand 7,253 2.2 57,020 0 2.50,17 35,862 2.50,511	Austria	334,066	inhabitants <u>28.17</u>	(U) 472,206	301	425,537	(U) 74,420	37,245	of blood component
Bulguria 119,110 16.2 162,654 ¹¹ 1,654 183,120 93,664 6,606 Cyprus* 43,544 63.3 49,294 0 44,283 15,755 11,167 Cycrus* 43,544 63.3 49,294 0 44,283 15,755 11,167 Ceck Regulia 255,231 45.9 337,000 0 316,733 66,100 339,007 Extonia 144,055 23.6 255,252 31.4 25,231 45.002 33,121 45,023 Greece 533,012 50.8 613,275 49 615,622 201,507 133,375 142,398 Hally 1,722,503 25.5 2,694,871 30,025 252,237 35,12 43,124 141,2389 Hally 1,722,503 25.5 2,694,871 30,025 252,235 35,602 205,791 Listvia 70,63 22.1 68,324 25 70,702 29,824 11,020 Vibrhandb 72,653 22	Belgium	365,813	33.7	549,266	0	516,035	92,761	69,328	coroos the EU (2010
Sprus* 48,54 6.33 49,294 0 44,283 15,755 11,167 Cacch Republic 7375,176 36.4 440070 393 399,521 201,220 31,866 Demarkat 255,231 459 337,000 0 316,733 66,086 Etronis 44,405 324 58,727 0 2,737,214 32,40 72,000 Graned 1,54,602 2.8.6 225,570 0 2,737,214 32,40 72,000 Grane 3,074,037 3.7.6 4,940,257 5.67 4,549,57 1,137,978 133,375 Margan 30,72,75 32,115 151,894 0" 361,519" 39,397" 142,337 reland 9,6737 2.1.1 151,894 0" 140,037 2,852 25,750 10 133,375 reland 9,6737 2.1.2 55,702 0 140,037 2,852 15,7570 0 35,612 10,01 reland 9,673 <t< td=""><td>Bulgaria</td><td>119,110</td><td>16.2</td><td>162,658^(a)</td><td>1,654</td><td>183,120</td><td>93,666</td><td>6,606</td><td></td></t<>	Bulgaria	119,110	16.2	162,658 ^(a)	1,654	183,120	93,666	6,606	
Cach Republic 376,176 3.64 440,700 389 389,521 201,220 31,866 Demmark 255,231 45.9 337,000 0 316,733 66,110 33,307 Stonin 454,805 334 458,721 19 55,554 27,106 6,086 Grammary 317,000 2.25,528 2.31 2.49,222 3.5,554 27,106 6,086 Grammary 317,003 2.3.6 2.48,5577 0 2.49,322 3.5,121 4.50,033 Grammary 327,273 2.3.7 4.48,04,277 5.657 4.69,457 1.126,153 4.99,621 Grammary 327,273 2.3.3 4.13,179 6.65,151 9.39,671 4.20,271 4.16,151 4.99,621 reland 96,737 2.1.1 151,894 0 140,037 2.3,575 2.5,573 3.5,507 2.7,575 reland 72,253 2.5 4.5,507 0 2.5,273,553 3.5,507 2.3,575 4.3,578 4.3,578	Cyprus*	48,544	63.3	49,294	0	44,283	15,735	11,167	
Demmark istonia 255,231 45.9 337,000 0 316,733 66,110 33.907 istonia 44,805 33.4 58,729 19 51,586 27,196 6.066 inland 154,602 28.6 265,592 314 20,922 53.12 45,003 rance 2,125,786 32.7 2,485,577 0 2,78,241 32,140 27,007 rance 533,015 50.8 613,275 49 615,692 201,092 133,375 rance 533,015 50.8 613,275 49 615,692 201,997 14,259 ^m rance 53,702 0.8 52,232 535,02 205,701 14,259 ^m tatoia 7,263 2.21 68,324 25 79,012 29,682 11,020 caremberg* 10,367 2.1 2,21,58 10,057 48,499 40,4037 2,315 reland 10,367 2.1 16,024 10,057 36,492 10,020 <td>Zzech Republic</td> <td>376,176</td> <td>36.4</td> <td>440,700</td> <td>393</td> <td>389,521</td> <td>201,220</td> <td>31,866</td> <td>adapted from EDOM conc</td>	Zzech Republic	376,176	36.4	440,700	393	389,521	201,220	31,866	adapted from EDOM conc
Strond 44,805 33.4 58,72 19 51,56 71,76 6,086 Ininand 15,602 28.6 25,502 31 249,922 53,512 43,023 Ininance 21,15,786 32.7 2,48,577 0 2,79,744 43,023 Streace 30,74,07 37.6 4,940,257 6.57 4,694,507 12,15,18 49,024 72,070 Streace 323,015 5.08 613,275 44 615,622 201,007 13,3,375 reland 96,737 2.11 151,894 0" 140,037 23,315 44,805 varmborg 0,723 2.12 0.58,924 32,025 35,052 35,052 35,052 35,052 varmborg 10,337 2.1 42,025 0 0 22,027 43,124 24,041 20,071 varmborg 10,337 2.2 55,702 0 140,053 86,424 10,01 10,02 varmborg 12,337 2.2	Denmark	255,231	45.9	337,000	0	316,733	66,110	33,907	adapted from EDQM repo
Hand 154,602 28.6 225592 31.4 249,022 35.12 43.03 rance 2,125,766 32.7 2.485,577 0 2.497,524 382,44 280,04 rance 3,107,013 3.76 4.340,277 5.657 4.694,557 1.161,15 4.960,21 stream 33,015 5.68 6.13,275 6.15,92 2.01,151 9.39,77 1.17 1.51,894 0" 1.65,92 2.01,151 9.39,77 1.01 1.01,157	Estonia	44,805	33.4	58,729	19	51,586	27,196	6,086	
Prince 2,125,76 32.7 2,483,57 30 2,737,24 322,049 278,071 Grimany 30,7037 37.6 4,940,27 5,657 4,964,57 1,215,18 498,151 1,313,151 Griesco 333,015 5.08 6,13,275 49 615,692 20,100 13,3375 Griesco 93,737 21.3 418,744 01 93,697 14,259 ^{min} Gringo 92,737 23.8 2,569,487 30.5 2,522,355 35,502 205,701 Athania 7,226,80 2.5 2,560,487 30.5 2,522,355 35,502 205,701 Athania 7,238 2.5 2,560,487 30.5 2,522,355 35,502 205,701 Athania 7,238 2.5 2,560,487 50,702 70,012 2,750,85 61,310 Athania 7,123,93 2.5 1,450,48 0 1,055,413 466,428 Commonio 10,357,41 2.405,405 10,557 16,607	inland	154,602	28.6	265,592	314	249,922	53,512	43,023	
Barmany Barmany <t< td=""><td>rance</td><td>2,125,786</td><td>32.7</td><td>2,483,577</td><td>0</td><td>2,378,241</td><td>382,449</td><td>278,097</td><td></td></t<>	rance	2,125,786	32.7	2,483,577	0	2,378,241	382,449	278,097	
Singer Singer Singer Singer Singer Singer Singer Singer relard 96,737 21.1 151,894 0" 36,113" 93,937 142,957 relard 96,737 21.1 151,894 0" 140,037 23,612 24,818 taby 96,737 21.1 151,894 0" 140,037 23,612 24,818 taby 72,653 22.1 65,327 0 52,52,535 35,502 20,710 stamania 72,653 22.1 65,324 25 79,012 25,622 11,020 stamania 72,653 22.1 65,324 0 10,051 61,61 1609 value 70,545 23.8 0.01,610 10,615 61,61 1609 value 70,545 25.3 40,025 10,557 36,624 0.164 value 10,367 53,37 140,268 10,693 16,624 10,993 value 10	Germany	3,074,037	37.6	4,940,257	5,657	4,694,567	1,216,153	496,281	
Surgery reland S22,735 S2.3 418,794 0 ¹⁰ 362,151 ¹⁰ 93,987 ¹¹¹ 142,258 ¹⁰ reland 96,737 21.1 151,894 0 140,037 22,355 24,431 straine S0,361 25.2 55,702 0.0 52,2155 35,502 26,731 atria S0,361 25.2 55,702 0.0 52,017 35,750 6,131 atria 0,367 2.4 6.0 52,017 35,750 6,131 varmbory 0.10,67 2.1 6.2,050 0 72,724 4.10 2.3,15 Varmbory 0.3,67 1.4,268 0 1.4051 6.6,161 1.609 Varmbory 0.3,571 2.7.8 414,268 116 3.66,271 92,864 Varma 490,150 2.3 400,285 116,978 87,690 64,284 Varma 2.3,9 ¹⁰ 0.23,9 ¹⁰ 2.9,87 ¹⁰ 10,944 100,97 ¹⁰ 3.5,9 ¹⁰ 166,978 87,690	Greece	533,015	50.8	613,275	49	615,692	201,909	133,375	
reland 96,737 21.1 151,894 0 0.40,037 23,612 24,431 taty 1,72,203 2.8 2.69,641 30.25 2.52,235 35,750 26,753 61,31 atvia 50,361 2.52 55,702 0 52,2235 35,750 61,31 dthuand 72,663 2.21 66,324 2.0 2.70,272 4,420 11,020 warmburg 10,347 2.1 42,05 0 2.02,72 4,410 11,020 Varmburg 2.93,71 2.73 414,268 16 16,614 16,609 Potrad 2.93,71 2.73 414,268 16 36,421 10,09 64,284 Romania 480,150 2.53 400,285 10,85,382 22,684 156 36,421 10,09 42,326 Spain 1,33,040 2.47 1,740,091 140 1,618,419 200,583 12,332 Spain 1,33,040 2.47 1,740,091 40 <td>lungary</td> <td>322,735</td> <td>32.3</td> <td>418,794</td> <td>0(b)</td> <td>361,151^(b)</td> <td>93,987^(b)</td> <td>14,259^(b)</td> <td></td>	lungary	322,735	32.3	418,794	0(b)	361,151 ^(b)	93,987 ^(b)	14,259 ^(b)	
Lipy 1,722,503 28.5 2,694,871 30,25 2,522,353 395,602 205,701 using 50,361 22.5 457,00 0 52,017 36,870 10,207 using 72,663 22.1 66,324 25 79,012 29,682 11,020 usembourd 10,867 21 22,105 0 20,272 4,410 2,315 Values 1,339 25 1,45,48 0 1,405,16 1,609 Values 23,357 2,33 40,426 10,615 1,610 40,914 Values 23,571 2,78 41,4268 116 36,421 10,990 66,428 Usemain 10,037 52,33 400,285 105,573 87,509 15,023 10,924 Usemain 10,0374 52,33 400,285 105,573 87,509 15,023 10,923 Usemain 10,4974 52,347 52,649 25,727 17,40,091 16,18,149 200,538 <t< td=""><td>reland</td><td>96,737</td><td>21.1</td><td>151,894</td><td>0</td><td>140,037</td><td>23,612</td><td>24,431</td><td></td></t<>	reland	96,737	21.1	151,894	0	140,037	23,612	24,431	
atvia 50,361 25.2 55,702 0 52,017 36,758 6,131 Lthunnin 72,653 22.1 65,824 25 79,012 29,652 11,020 usennbourg 10,367 2.1 22,105 0 20,272 4,410 2.315 Valta 12,339 2.5 14,548 0 14,051 6,6131 Valta 21,339 2.5 14,548 0 20,272 4,410 2,315 Valta 2,339 2.5 14,548 10 366,421 16,09 Valta 2,335,71 2.78 414,268 116 366,421 10,990 66,428 Valta 2,319 2.2.2 2.02,246 9.57 36,970 22,664 56 Showaka 10,047 ¹⁰ 5.3.9 ¹⁰ 9.50,97 36,978 87,690 16,023 Showaka 10,497 ¹⁰ 5.3.9 ¹⁰ 9.50,11 ¹⁰ 0 47,81 ¹⁰ 22,879 ¹⁰ 10,84 ¹⁰ Spinin	taly	1,722,503	28.5	2,694,871	3,025	2,522,355	395,602	205,791	
Lifbuardia 72,663 22.1 68,924 25 79,012 29,662 11,020 warmburg* 10,347 21 62,00 0 20,272 4,410 2,315 Mata 12,339 29,5 14,548 0 14,051 6,614 1,609 Poind 703,545 14.4 1,179,668 ¹⁰ 10,06,834 ²⁰ 86,924 ²⁰ 98,186 ²⁰ Poindal 29,3571 27.8 614,026 156 356,421 10,090 66,428 Romania 480,150 25.3 400,255 109,597 356,490 249,245 22,664 Stowarka 11,030 ⁴⁰ 53.4 ⁴¹ 0.575,5 ⁴¹ 28,757 ⁴¹ 20,9574 124,644 Spain 1,133,040 2.47 1,740,001 140 1,618,419 200,558 12,352 Spain 1,33,940 2.47 1,740,001 140 1,618,419 200,558 12,352 Wetherinder 72,520,288 21.1 542,106 618,873 83,064	.atvia	50,361	25.2	55,702	0	52,017	36,758	6,131	
Custombourging 10,367 21. 22,105 0 20,272 4,410 2,315 Maha 12,339 22,85 14,548 0 10,051 6,516 1,509 Valuad 703,561 18.4 1,179,656 ¹⁰ 10,071 63,612 10,939 64,281 Valuad 293,571 27.8 414,268 116 366,421 10,990 66,428 Osmania 40,150 25.3 40,028 109,597 87,690 16,023 Idomain 10,037 ¹⁰ 52.3 40,0285 109,597 87,599 10,023 pain 11,03,7 ¹⁰ 22.0 205,246 957 156,598 87,699 16,023 pain 1,133,040 24.7 1,740,091 10 87,817 29,297 ¹⁰ 10,234 pain 1,133,040 24.7 1,740,091 10 488,373 89,064 42,817 ideth*riand 20,238 21,32 83,747 85,025 10,233 10,233 10	ithuania	72,663	22.1	68,324	25	79,012	29,682	11,020	
Malta 12,339 25.5 14,548 0 14,051 6,161 1,009 Oblind 703,541 14.8 1,170,668,818 610 ¹⁰ 10,085,818 610 ¹⁰ 10,099 66,428 Dentugal 293,571 27.8 414,268 116 336,421 10,099 66,428 Romania 450,150 25.3 400,285 105,597 396,490 243,245 22,664 Homatia 120,319 22.2 205,240 957 168,978 87,90 16,038 Bowenia 110,497 ⁱⁿ 53,9 ⁱⁿ 95,601 ⁱⁿ 0 87,81 ¹¹ 29,879 ⁱⁿ 109,44 ⁱⁿ Spino 1,33,040 2.47 1,740,091 14.0 1,618,419 200,583 192,332 Spino 1,33,249 2.1 740,109 480,373 89,064 42,817 Hare 32,0283 21.1 52,116 61,28,293 30,377 28,7027 Hare 32,50,48 21.5 2,305,482 16 2,8	uxembourg*	10,367	21	22,105	0	20,272	4,410	2,315	
Pointary 703, S4 11.4 1, 179, 6.6% 81.0 ¹ 1,046, S4.2 ⁱⁿ 360, 42 ⁱⁿ 0, 31, 84 ⁱⁿ Pointary 293, 571 27.8 414, 268 116 356, 421 10, 000 664, 88 Remarkina 480, 150 25.3 400, 255 109, 571 366, 400 249, 256 22, 664 Stowakina 10, 03, 19 22.2 205, 246 157 186, 578 87, 690 160, 23 Stowakina 11, 04, 67 ⁱⁿ 35, 97 ⁱⁿ 10, 57, 57 ⁱⁿ 10, 23, 57 ⁱⁿ 10, 44 ⁱⁿ Splin 1, 133, 040 2.7 1, 740, 001 140 1, 618, 419 200, 578 127, 327 Sowadan 232, 083 2.1 542, 100 610 158, 643 82, 083 83, 647 92, 532 Sowadan 252, 083 21.1 542, 100 610 288, 273 83, 647 92, 532 Sowadan 253, 083 21.1 542, 100 610 288, 273 83, 647 92, 657 Wither Margdon 555	Malta	12,339	29.5	14,548	0	14,051	6,161	1,609	
Optimular 2 / 2/9, 2 / 1 2 / 4 / 3 / 3 / 5 / 6 / / 6 / / 7 / 6 / / 7 / <th7 <="" th=""> 7 / <th7 <="" t<="" td=""><td>Poland</td><td>703,561</td><td>18.4</td><td>1,179,668(**)</td><td>61000</td><td>1,095,838%</td><td>369,474**</td><td>93,184</td><td></td></th7></th7>	Poland	703,561	18.4	1,179,668(**)	61000	1,095,838%	369,474**	93,184	
Constraint Vertical Science	-ortugai Demonia	293,571	27.0	414,200	100 507	330,421	240.245	00,420	
Markan Lab., Sa. 9 ⁽⁴⁾ <thlab., 9<sup="" sa.="">(4)</thlab.,>	lovakia	120 219	23.3	205 246	057	196 079	245,245	16.022	
Control Control <t< td=""><td>lovenia</td><td>110 497(a)</td><td>53.9(4)</td><td>95 601(4)</td><td>0</td><td>87.451^(a)</td><td>29.879^(a)</td><td>10,025</td><td></td></t<>	lovenia	110 497(a)	53.9(4)	95 601(4)	0	87.451 ^(a)	29.879 ^(a)	10,025	
Norman 245,289 25.1 430,349 0 489,373 80,064 42,487 Her 352,083 21.1 542,160 619 548,733 81,742 56,165 ¹⁰ Data provided by Competent Authorities Indeet Kingdom 1,566,463 25.1 2,305,482 16 2,182,950 303,377 287,027 ¹⁰ Data provided by Competent Authorities	Snain	1 133 040	24.7	1 740 091	140	1 618 419	200 583	192 332	
New Constraint Con	Sweden	245,289	26.1	493.439	0	488.373	89.064	42.817	
Netherlands Completent Author United Kingdom 1,566,463 25.1 2,305,482 16 2,182,950 303,377 287,027 Iff provide by Completent Author	The	352.083	21.1	542,160	619	548,793	81.742	56.165	
United Kingdom 1,566,463 25.1 2,305,482 16 2,182,950 303,377 287,027	Netherlands			2.12/200		2.2,755	52,742	55,105	(a) Data provided by Competent Authori
2003-0010	United Kingdom	1,566,463	25.1	2,305,482	16	2,182,950	303,377	287,027	^(b) 2009 data

	Number of Whole blood donations	WB collections/1000 inh	Number of Platelets Donations by Apheresis	Platelets apheresis Donations/10 00 inh	Other donations by apheresis		Col	llection	of blood across f	d comp the EU	onents (2012)
Austria			-		-			a deated.		totion C	
Belgium	538.336	48,5	13.471	1,2	6.078			adapted	from impien	nentation S	survey 2013
Bulgaria	167.851	22,9	2.714	0,4							
Croatia	179.305	41,9	2.646	<u>0,6</u>	118	Lithuania	79.367	26,4	1.049	0,3	2.221(d)
Cyprus	57.847	67,1	272	0,3	261	Luxembourg	20.631	39,3	679	1,3	
Czech Republic	418.954	39,8	18.271(a)	1,7		Malta	16.995	40,7	469	1,1	
Denmark	293.765	52,6	1.232	0,2		The Netherlands	498.117	29,8	4.723	0,3	
Estonia	5.812	4,4	105	0,1	804	Norway	198.584	39,8	51.000	10,2	4.654
Finland	246.434	45,6	483	0,1		Poland	1.173.050	30,4	34.133	0,9	600(e)
France	2.641.930	40,5	131.875	2,0	32.643(i)	Portugal	387.222	36,7	4.568	0,4	346
Germany	4.785.048	59,6	196.106	2,4	35.245(b)	Romania	399.848	19,9	6.830	0,3	1.037(f)
Greece	400.002(c)	35.9	18.123	1.6		Slovakia	203.825	37,7	6.257	1,2	
Hungary	425.637	42,9	3.573	0,4	825	Slovenia	93.099	45,3	2.343	1,1	125(g)
Ireland	138.099	30,1	12.023	2,6		spain Sweden	484 755	50.7	7.680	0,2	24.728(1)
Italy	2.683.127	45.2	80.051	1.3	26.147	United Kingdom	2,256,736	35.3	148.012	2.3	
Liechtenstein	5	0.1	0	0.0		Total	20 502 708		752 349	-,-	135 832
		0,2		0,0		. Count	20.002.000				155.052



	ion, testin	g and us	se of Bloo	d and Bloc	d Compon	ents in Eu	rope, 2	012			
able 3					Use of blood and blo	od components for tra	nstusion				
Country	Transfused or	whole blood	% whole blood	red blood cell	r.b.c. (U) per	plasma for	platelets	platelets	platelets	% platelets by	ryoprecipitate
llhania	distributed	(U)	of total RBUS	concentrates (U)	1,000 inhabitants	transfusion (U)	total (U)	recovered (U)	apheresis (U)	apheresis	10^6 IU FVIII)
Indorra											
endorra	Trans	0	0.0	11 294	3.8	11.087	2 159	2 127	32	15	
errierna Iustria	fidits.		0,0	11 204	3,0	11007	2 100	2 127	32	1,0	
zerbaijan											
Relairm	Trans		0.0	491 774	44.3	89.053	68 668	33.064	35.604	51.8	
Bosnia / Herzegovina	mano.	۲ ۲	0,0	401774	44,3	05 003	36 666	33 004	35 004	01,0	
lulgaria						1				1	
roatia	Distr.	321	0.2	177 061	41.3	91 593	21 969	19 169	2 800	12.7	
VDFUS											
zech Republic	Trans.	654	0.2	393 804	37.4	187 000	37 100	9 200	27 900	75.2	
enmark	Trans.	0	0.0	277 960	49,6	60 692	33 631	32 001	1 630	4.8	
stonia	Trans.	46	0,1	55 162	41,7	25 993	6 985	5 712	1 273	18,2	
inland	Distr.	0	0,0	229 090	42,2	49 429	41 565	41 085	480	1,2	
rance	Distr.	0	0.0	2 517 097	38,4	387 976	300 683	154 955	145 728	48,5	
YR Macedonia											
eorgia	Trans.										
ermany	Distr.	3 550	0,1	4 633 911	57,5	1 571 068	589 179	226 457	362 722	61,6	
reece	Distr.	0	0.0	413 568	39,4	193 872	129 807	115 897	13 910	10,7	
lungary	Distr.	0	0,0	414 755	43,1	97 219	47 695	44 645	3 050	6,4	
celand	Distr.	0	0,0	11 538	35,8	3 284	2 3 3 0	732	1 598	68,6	
reland	Distr.	0	0,0	135 357	29,4	21 240	24 971	5 117	19 854	79,5	
aly	Trans.	1 469	0,1	2 564 093	43,2	432 884	219 785	146 334	73 451	33,4	2
atvia	Distr.	0	0,0	51	0,0	35	7 681	7 677	4	0,1	
lechtenstein	-										
ithuania	Irans.	0	0,0	87 402	29,3	31 156	19 002	8 586	10 416	54,8	
uxembourg	Distr.	0	0.0	19 889	37.0	4 100	2765	1 904	861	31,1	
lalta	Dist	100		20.400		00.044	0.000				
loidova	Distr.	160	0,4	39 100	11,5	63 041	8 399	500			13
ontenegro	Trans.	3 990	26.2	15 250	24.4	10 298	509	509	0	0.0	
eulerianus	USU.	363	0,1	453 623	27,1	6/ 80/	37 720	52 418	5 302	9,2	
loland	trans.	132	0,1	191 431	37,9	49 /33	24 508	16 911	/ 597	31,0	
lortugal	Trans	122	0.0	241 976	22.6	6 579	28.942				
omania	reality.	155	0.0	341 976	32,0	0 570	30 342				
Pussian Federation	Distr	1 335	0.1	1 669 907	11.7	1 907 368	148 684				
an Marino	0.00.	1 3 3 3		1 003 307	11.7	1 801 800	.10.004			1	
erbia						1				1	
lovakia	Distr.	420	0.2	189 805	35.1	86 679	15 033	2 748	12 285	81.7	
lovenia	1		-/-								
pain	Trans.	95	0.0	1 553 720	33.8	198 521	188 510	158 356	30 154	16.0	1
weden	Trans.			460 837	48,2	182 893	48 523	40 788	7 735	15,9	1 · · · ·
witzerland	Distr.			297 588	37,2	49 832	34 265	11 526	22 739	66,4	
urkey	1										
Ikraine						1				1	
Inited Kingdom	Distr.	2	0,0	2 102 521	33.0	286 402	310 428	43 333	267 095	86,0	156





Components (expressed in thousand	s of units)						
	Blood Centers	Hospitals	2013 Combined Total	±95% CI	2011 Total	% Change 2011-2013	p-value
Collection/Production							
Apheresis Platelets Collected and Produced	2,112	114	2,226	55	2,283	-2.5	0.078
Apheresis Platelets Distributed for Transfusion	1,908	94	2,002*	50	2,090	-4.2	0.015
WB-Derived Platelets Concentrates Distributed [†]	154	9	164(819)*	9	129(643)	27.1	< 0.0001
Total Platelets Distributed for Transfusion	2,062	103	2,166	51	2,219	-2.4	0.249
Plasma Collected or Produced	3,995	283	4,278*	118	5,784	-26.0	<0.0001
Plasma Distributed for Transfusion	3,286	201	3,488*	76	4,495	-22.4	<0.0001
Cryoprecipitate Distributed for Transfusion [‡]	1,218	117	1,335*	70	867	54.0	< 0.0001
Transfusions							
Apheresis Platelets	0	1,143	1,143	104	1,019	12.2	0.112
WB-Derived Platelets Concentrates [†]	0	167	167(835)	53	116 (581)	30.7	0.142
Total Platelets Transfused	0	1,310	1,310*	121	1,135	15.4	0.0423
Plasma	1	1,796	1,797*	129	1,995	-9.9	0.036
Cryoprecipitate [‡]	0	1,054	1,054*	132	634	66.2	< 0.0001
































		Haematology / Oncology Unit	Internal Medicine Emergency Care	Clinic for General Surgery
umber of cases / patients		150	356	179
llocation at blood bank	RBC	357	124	386
	Plasma	61	4	63
	Platelets	240	8	2
	Total	658	136	451
se of components	RBC	258	38	72
	Plasma	61	4	63
	Platelets	237	8	2
	Total	556	50	137
location at blood bank	RBC / 100 cases	238,00	34,83	215,64
	Plasma / 100 cases	40,67	1,12	35,20
	Platelets / 100 cases	160,00	2,25	1,12
	Total / 100 cases	438,67	38,20	251,96
e of components	RBC / 100 cases	172,00	10,67	40,22
	Plasma / 100 cases	40,67	1,12	35,20
	Platelets / 100 cases	158,00	2,25	1,12
	Total / 100 cases	370,67	14,04	76,54
location / Use (RBC)		72,30%	30,60%	18,70%
location / Use (Platelets)		99 %	100 %	100%

.

* Availability of platelet concentrates in Europe – <u>Summary.2</u> Platelets" - Do we exactly know what we are talking about? • Wide variety of manufacturing processes. Data on manufacturing and use of patelets in Europe exist. • Data focus on the overall need of platelets per member state. Data verify a wide variety of use of platelets among member states. Data focus on the differentiation of the maufacturing process pool platelets versus apheresis platelets. "Regular shortages" of platelets in patient care are reported in Europe. Decay of platelet concentrates is an issue. Data currently do not provide the information content necessary for decision-making in the • field. When thinking about recommendations resulting from this workshop, the need to clearly define parameters necessary to interpretate data in the European context should be considered. Data source and methods of data analysis Clinicals studies and retrospective analysis of clinical data are required. Registry data might be a helpful tool. Data examination has to take into account aspects from systems biology and systems medicine approaches as well as . from the micro- / macroenvironmental conditions of healthcare provision. D. Stahl, FG 7/4 Transfusionsmedizin 30





Risk assessment models – Aggregated risk assessment

Kleinman S et al., Transfusion 2015

TABLE 1. Patients receiving RBC transfusions get exposed to different numbers of RBC units with different time frames of exposure*							
RBC transfusion category	Diagnosis or procedure	Number of transfusion episodes	Total RBC unit exposure† (time)	Immune suppressed	Use of irradiated blood		
Acute	Cardiac surgery ^{6,7}	Single	3‡	No	No		
Acute	Trauma ⁸	Single	5‡	Suppressed cell immunity	No		
Intermittent	ICU ⁹	Variable	3.5‡	No	No		
Intermittent	Cardiovascular disease ¹⁰	Variable	3‡	No	No		
Sustained over limited time frame	HSCT ^{11,12}	Multiple	10-20 (3-6 months)	Yes	Yes		
Chronic but time-limited	MDS ¹³	Multiple	13/year (3 years)	Immunosuppressed in many cases	No§		
Chronic, lifelong	SCD ¹⁴	Multiple	24‡/year (30 years ^{15,16})	Asplenic	No§		

*

D. Stahl, FG 7/4 Transfusionsmedizin

Asp No 24‡/year (30 years^{15,16}) 15/year (50 years^{18,19}) Thalassemia¹⁷ * These data are taken from representative publications for each RBC transfusion category and may not be fully reflective of all practice patterns. Depending on how the data were presented in the cited publication(s), they are expressed as a median, mean, or range thereof.
† The data include only the patients who received transfusions.
‡ Median.

§ Not routinely; may be irradiated if hospital-wide policies for hematology-oncology patients or for pediatric patients require.

TABLE 4. Per unit risk in transfused RBC under current donor testing protocols in the United States						
Pathogen Risk		Method of estimation				
Higher-risk pathogens						
B. microti ²⁷	0.076% (1 in 1316)	Antibody and PCR	data in endemic areas*under IND screening†			
CMV ^{1,46}	0.1% (1 in 1000)*	Detection of infection	on in transfused recipients and PCR data in donors			
EIA	(********)					
Acute-type agent ⁴	0.025% (1 in 4000)	Mathematical mode	ling‡			
Chronic-type agent ⁴	0.045% (1 in 2222)	Mathematical mode	ling‡			
Lower-risk pathogens	(****====)					
Plasmodia-all species	Rare	Clinical case report	ing (<1 TT case per year in United States)			
Bacteria ³³	0.00005%	Based on French a	nd German Data			
	(1 in 2 million)	No documented clir	nical cases in the United States in past 5 years;			
	Clinical Sepsis	May be more comm	non for subclinical cases			
A. phagocytophilum ^{50,51}	Rare	Clinical case reporting (<1 TT case per year in United States);				
		May be more comm	non for subclinical cases			
HIV ⁶³	0.00007%	Mathematical mode	ling§			
	(1 in 1.5 million)					
HCV ⁶³	0.00009%	Mathematical mode	ling§			
	(1 in 1.1 million)					
HBV ⁶⁴	0.0001%	Mathematical mode	ling§			
	(1 in 1 million)					
WNV ⁶⁵	Rare	Clinical case report	ing (<1 TT case per year in United States)			



Kleinman S et al., Trai	nsfusion 2015			
TABLE 6. Aggregate life	time patient risks due to RBC trans testing algorithms in th	fusion for different patient categor le United States	ies under current	
		Aggregate risk per	Aggregate risk per patient (%)	
Diagnosis	RBC unit exposure	Minimum ^{*1}	Maximum ⁺²	
Cardiac surgery Trauma ICU Cardiovascular disease HSCT MDS SCD Thalassemia * The method of calculating risk † Lifetime risk, would increase fo Lifetime risk would increase fo for HSCT patients, where mii 0.12031% for the first four p 0.12031% for a 1.5-year perio other time intervals.	3 5 3.5 3 15 39 720 750 when large numbers of units are transfused ovascular disease and ICU patient groups. r patients transfused on multiple occasions rimum risk is 0.10031% based on poten atient groups and 0.22031% for HSCT r d (when a new acute EIA is in the blood	0.0009 (1/107,000) 0.0016 (1/65,000) 0.0011 (1/91,000) 0.0009 (1/107,000) 1.49 (1/67) 0.012 (1/8,000) 0.22 (1/450) 0.23 (1/450) 1 as described by Kleinman et al. ⁶⁶ In the latter groups, risk is for a single ho . ¹ Minimum per-unit risk is 0.00031% for i tial sequelae from TT-CMV infection. ² M patients. For patients with MDS, SCD, <i>ε</i> supply) and 0.07631% (due to <i>Babesia</i>)	0.36 (1/277 0.60 (1/167) 0.42 (1/238 0.36 (1/277) 3.25 (1/31) 3.76 (1/277) 43.17 (1/2) 45.13 (1/2) 45.13 (1/2) spitalization or ICU stay all patient groups excep faximum per-unit risk is and thalassemia, risk is when transfused during	